

20020212.qrp v02\_n464.qrl.20020212

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 19:03:05 EST  
From: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: QRP-L digest 2464

QRP-L Digest 2464

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) [119689] WANTED- TO BUY  
by Earl Murphy <earlmurf@telusplanet.net>
- 2) [119690] Re: N4DD Preliminary Log Delinquency  
by Pete Burbank <plburbank@kih.net>
- 3) [119691] Re: N4DD Preliminary Log Delinquency  
by Larry Cahoon <lejek@erols.com>
- 4) [119692] Re: Keyer question  
by "bob baxter" <rbaxter@cybertrails.com>
- 5) [119693] Fox Log Accuracy (was N4DD yada yada...)  
by "N3BJ" <alanfryer@email.msn.com>
- 6) [119694] Truffle Log for 2/5/02 V2.0  
by "N8IE" <n8ie@woh.rr.com>
- 7) [119695] WANTED- TO BUY  
by Earl Murphy <earlmurf@telusplanet.net>
- 8) [119696] Re: Keyer question  
by Hank Kohl K8DD <k8dd@arrl.net>
- 9) [119697] qrp sort of.. simple rf remote control  
by bob evinger <wd9eka@arrl.net>
- 10) [119698] Re: qrp sort of.. simple rf remote control  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 11) [119699] Re: Field Strength and things that don't make sense....  
by baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com
- 12) [119700] Re: Field Strength and things that don't make sense....  
by "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>
- 13) [119701] FOX -- AGOT, Your 2/12 Cub Fox, REALLY! :-)  
by Todd Enders <enders@bolshoi.cc.misu.nodak.edu>
- 14) [119702] Re: Field Strength and things that don't make sense....  
by bob evinger <wd9eka@arrl.net>
- 15) [119703] Re: qrp sort of.. simple rf remote control  
by bob evinger <wd9eka@arrl.net>
- 16) [119704] Re: Learning CW, Koch, Farnsworth, Etc.  
by Monte Stark <ku7y@qsl.net>
- 17) [119705] MV109 Varactors  
by "Lee Mairs" <lmairs@cox.rr.com>
- 18) [119706] WTB: Joe Carr's Loop Antenna Handbook  
by Michael Goins <mgoins@usa.net>
- 19) [119707] AA4XX 250mW 30M QRSS Beacon Report

- by Paul Stroud <aa4xx@ipass.net>
- 20) [119708] Re: MV109 Varactors  
by "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>
- 21) [119709] Re: Joe Carr's Loop Antenna Handbook  
by "ZOOM" <kandrparker@sympatico.ca>
- 22) [119710] Re: Field Strength and things that don't make sense....  
by baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com
- 23) [119711] Re: Field Strength and things that don't make sense....  
by baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com
- 24) [119712] Arkiecon April 6th  
by "Jay Bromley" <w5jay@alltel.net>
- 25) [119713] Re: MV209 Varactors  
by "Lee Mairs" <lmairs@cox.rr.com>
- 26) [119714] The REAL Big Dogs of QRP!!!!!!!!!!!!!!  
by "Jay Bromley" <w5jay@alltel.net>
- 27) [119715] Re: MV209 Varactors  
by "Dennis Ponsness" <wb0wao@hotmail.com>
- 28) [119716] Re: MV209 Varactors  
by "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>
- 29) [119717] Re: Field Strength and things that don't make sense....  
by "Mike Yettsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 30) [119718] Re: Learning CW, Koch, Farnsworth, Etc.  
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
- 31) [119719] Re: FT-817  
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
- 32) [119720] Re: MV109 Varactors  
by "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>
- 33) [119721] Fw: MV209 Varactors  
by "John J. McDonough" <wb8rcr@arrl.net>
- 34) [119722] Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by KKANALZ@prodigy.net
- 35) [119723] Re: Keyer question  
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
- 36) [119724] houston radioactivity?  
by David Hinerman <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>
- 37) [119725] Wireless paddles & 433 MHz Modules  
by KKANALZ@prodigy.net
- 38) [119726] Re: Keyer question  
by Hank Kohl K8DD <k8dd@arrl.net>
- 39) [119727] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>
- 40) [119728] MI QRP net tonight I might be a little late  
by "Kwik, Ed " <ed.kwik@delphiauto.com>
- 41) [119729] Re: Keyer question  
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
- 42) [119730] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
- 43) [119731] New England QRP SSB NET Tuesday at 8:00PM EST 7.295+/-5Khz

by "Ronald A Pfeiffer" <Ronald\_A\_Pfeiffer@raytheon.com>  
44) [119732] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
45) [119733] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
46) [119734] Re: FT-817  
by "Dave" <wr3i@earthlink.net>  
47) [119735] Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying - comment  
by "Charles Mabbott" <aa8vs@msn.com>  
48) [119736] Re: FT-817  
by "Paul Harden, NA5N" <na5n@rt66.com>  
49) [119737] Re: HRO-60 Gift Received  
by George Gingell <k3tks@u1.abs.net>  
50) [119738] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by "Paul Harden, NA5N" <na5n@rt66.com>  
51) [119739] FOX: W8RU Final Log, 8Feb02 (Hunt #31)  
by Ron Majewski <majewski@erim-int.com>  
52) [119740] Re: FT-817  
by "Dave Benson" <nn1g@earthlink.net>  
53) [119741] Use of the words "another falsehood"  
by "Joe W2KJ" <w2kj@earthlink.net>  
54) [119742] Antenna Question Hamstick  
by N2PTW@cs.com  
55) [119743] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying - comment  
by Dave Sjolín <sjolin@swbell.net>  
56) [119744] Re: Antenna Question Hamstick  
by "Dave" <wr3i@earthlink.net>  
57) [119745] OQ7YX ..... # 93 confirmed !!!  
by "George Osier" <gosier@twcnny.rr.com>  
58) [119746] Re: Antenna Question Hamstick  
by "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
59) [119747] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by Bill Coleman <aa4lr@arrl.net>  
60) [119748] RE: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by "Thor, n9ik" <n9ik@qsl.net>  
61) [119749] Re: OQ7YX ..... # 93 confirmed !!!  
by "Brian" <brian@iquest.net>  
62) [119750] Tip Tinner & Cleaner  
by dave f epps <dave\_epps@juno.com>  
63) [119751] Re: FT-817  
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
64) [119752] Re: Antenna Question Hamstick  
by "Bruzenak George" <bruzer1@mindspring.com>  
65) [119753] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
66) [119754] Re: Antenna Question Hamstick  
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
67) [119755] Re: FT-817

- by "Lee Mairs" <lmairs@cox.rr.com>
- 68) [119756] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
- 69) [119757] Suggestion  
by W2AGN <w2agn@pobox.com>
- 70) [119758] Re: N4DD Preliminary Log Delinquency  
by Bill Coleman <aa4lr@arrl.net>
- 71) [119759] RE: Suggestion  
by Mark Schoonover <schoon@amgt.com>
- 72) [119760] Re: New England QRP SSB NET Tuesday at 8:00PM EST 7.295+/-5Khz  
by "Dave" <wr3i@earthlink.net>
- 73) [119761] Lost clubs  
by Jim Stafford <w4qo@amsat.org>
- 74) [119762] Koch Learning Tool Works  
by "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>
- 75) [119763] Re: FT-817  
by "Richard Brummer, K2JQ" <k2jq@bestweb.net>
- 76) [119764] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by Garie Halstead <k8kfj@ntelos.net>
- 77) [119765] Re: TiCK Chip for Wireless paddles  
by Steve Smith <sigcom@juno.com>
- 78) [119766] Re: FT-817  
by William R Colbert <w5xe@juno.com>
- 79) [119767] FOX: N4DD Final Fox Log  
by "Dennis Brickey" <n4dd@preferred.com>
- 80) [119768] Re: MV109 Varactors  
by Steven Weber <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
- 81) [119769] Re: FOX: N4DD Final Fox Log  
by "Dave" <wr3i@earthlink.net>
- 82) [119770] Re: Tip Tinner & Cleaner  
by "Leon Heller" <leon\_heller@hotmail.com>
- 83) [119771] FOX: FINAL Final final Log  
by "Dennis Brickey" <n4dd@preferred.com>
- 84) [119772] Re: Tip Tinner & Cleaner  
by "Tony Fishpool" <tony@g4wif.fsnet.co.uk>
- 85) [119773] FS Hand Key . . .  
by fcsww@juno.com
- 86) [119774] Re: FT-817 (actually about the 817!)  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 87) [119775] Enough BM  
by "tmyers" <tmyers@AcademicPlanet.com>
- 88) [119776] OT:surplus - T106-2 / 33t #16  
by "Tracy Markham" <tracy@bytemark.com>
- 89) [119777] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by Larry Cahoon <lejek@erols.com>
- 90) [119778] Re: Enough BM  
by Pete Burbank <plburbank@kih.net>
- 91) [119779] Re: Homebrew PTO using a Glue Stick!!

- by ve3ab@mail.mondenet.com
- 92) [119780] Wanted to buy..QRP Wattmeter..ie accurate to 100mw  
by ve3ab@mail.mondenet.com
- 93) [119781] Re: Enough BM  
by W2AGN <w2agn@pobox.com>
- 94) [119782] Re: Wanted to buy..QRP Wattmeter..ie accurate to 100mw  
by "w8diz" <w8diz@fpqrp.com>
- 95) [119783] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by "Lee Mairs" <lmairs@cox.rr.com>
- 96) [119784] Re: Homebrew PTO using a Glue Stick!!  
by <ac7a@gci-net.com>
- 97) [119785] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
by "Bruzenak George" <bruzer1@mindspring.com>
- 98) [119786] GDO + scQRPion Singer Stinger  
by Ed Kessler <edkess@pa.net>
- 99) [119787] keyers, slow speeds and contesting  
by "WI8W" <wi8w@arrl.net>
- 100) [119788] Re: GDO + scQRPion Singer Stinger  
by Ed Manuel <edmanuel@directvinternet.com>

-----

Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 17:20:28 -0700  
From: Earl Murphy <earlmurf@telusplanet.net>  
To: QRP-L <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>, QRP-CANADA <qrp-canada@neale.gpfn.sk.ca>  
Subject: [119689] WANTED- TO BUY  
Message-ID: <3C685FCC.32D242E7@telusplanet.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Gang:  
FOUND an NC-20, but still looking for TWO (2) K1MG Frequency Counters.

Reply Direct Please.

72.....Earl (VA6RF)

-----

Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 19:28:52 -0500  
From: Pete Burbank <plburbank@kih.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119690] Re: N4DD Preliminary Log Delinquency  
Message-ID: <5.0.2.1.0.20020211191954.00ae8a70@KIH.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 07:57 PM 2/11/2002 -0500, tom palmer wrote:

>The "complaints" regarding preliminary logs  
>are all based on false assumptions.  
>SNIP.  
>  
>After reviewing the posting on these issues, I, for  
>one,  
>see no reason for revising any of the current rules  
>that  
>apply to logs.  
>  
>Tom, N1TP  
>Naples, Florida

Well Said Tom,  
In the past year I have seen Doc's (EVZ) call entered wrong twice.  
Some of us can send code, some of us can type, and some can chew gum and  
walk at the same time.....:-) :-)  
73 Pete NV4V  
The World's Worst Typist

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 00:37:23 +0000  
From: Larry Cahoon <lejek@erols.com>  
To: n1tp@worldnet.att.net,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119691] Re: N4DD Preliminary Log Delinquency  
Message-ID: <5.1.0.14.0.20020212002209.00b87830@pop.erols.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Guy, keep in mind each activity has it's own set of rules and practices.

If the Fox Hunts were a contest then in most cases where the Fox log is wrong the Fox would lose the points because he copied the information wrong. By contest standards that would be the log submitted for the contest - the preliminary log. Under those same rules and practices the hounds would get the points provided they copied the information correctly.

Since we don't get a log from the Hounds it would be real hard to say they got the information wrong.

So the concept of correcting the logs for the benefit of the Hounds is a valid exercise even by contesting standards.

73 de Larry.....WD3P in MD  
<http://www.qsl.net/wd3p/>

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 17:43:54 -0700  
From: "bob baxter" <rbaxter@cybertrails.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119692] Re: Keyer question  
Message-ID: <003701c1b35e\$5b53c980\$65142aa2@bobbaxte>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Bob,

    N1MM Logger (freeware) lets you increase and decrease cw speed in  
the programmed cw messages by adding <'s and >'s to the program.  
Bob Baxter AA7EQ  
Bisbee, Az.

> All this discussion of keyers got me to thinking.  
> In some contests I've heard stations keying along at a fairly  
comfortable  
> pace, perhaps 25 wpm or so. When they send a report from a memory  
button,  
> it is at a faster pace, then the guy goes back to keying at his 25  
wpm.  
> Does anyone know of a keyer that lets you store and send memory  
contents at  
> a different speed than what you are keying manually?  
> 73, Bob N6WG  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 19:49:18 -0500  
From: "N3BJ" <alanfryer@email.msn.com>  
To: <n1tp@worldnet.att.net>,  
    "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119693] Fox Log Accuracy (was N4DD yada yada...)  
Message-ID: <00a801c1b35f\$1c6dafa0\$dc4e6520@hppav>  
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Tom

I agree that the log accuracy for a Fox Hunt should be somewhat relaxed and subject to a few corrections...this is a fun and very worthwhile event to encourage all levels to participate, be heard and be recognized as a force in the QRP world.

HOWEVER, make no mistake - in a major contest such as CQWW there is no room for error and the mis-copying of a call on either end of the exchange can lead to severe penalties beyond the simple loss of that QSO. A single busted call is penalized by loss of multiple QSOs and - in some cases - a loss of a multiplier.

CQWW uses a very sophisticated computer cross checking system to bump logs. The greatest minds in contesting have put it together and it works - it is fair because it treats everyone the same - like a dog.

Practice up and get in the CQWW, ARRL DX (this weekend) and other major contests. It's amazing what the top ops at world class stations can hear. Rub elbows with the best, it's contagious and a great skill builder.

Alan, N3BJ  
Bent Mountain, VA

As one who has lost a few  
----- Original Message -----

From: "tom palmer" <n1tp@worldnet.att.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2002 7:57 PM  
Subject: Re: N4DD Preliminary Log Delinquency

> The "complaints" regarding preliminary logs  
> are all based on false assumptions.  
>  
> The reason for a preliminary log is not whether the  
> Fox copied the correct information over the air,  
> it's to try to ensure that no Hound loses a well  
> earned  
> pelt because the Fox incorrectly typed the correct  
> call sign in the log.  
>  
> Most errors in typing call signs involve transposing  
> a letter, such as, for example, K5TZY (in error) for



> the correct K5ZTY. This typo occurred recently. How  
> would K5ZTY feel if he lost his pelt simply because  
> the FOX made an error in typing his call sign in the  
> log?  
> Hounds should not be penalized by the loss of a pelt  
> because of a typo in the FOX's log.  
>  
> As I'm the "Official Scorekeeper" I carefully review  
> all  
> preliminary logs. These transposition errors are  
> clearly evident.  
>  
> In my opinion, the fact that a "contester" is allowed  
> to submit  
> his or her log one time is irrelevant. For all I know,  
> contest  
> logs have errors, possibly MANY errors.  
>  
> Although participation in the Fox Hunts may be good  
> practice  
> for CW contesting, it's not the primary purpose or  
> objective.  
> The Fox Hunts are an activity in themselves.  
>  
> The Fox Hunts are also not primarily an exercise in  
> tying error-  
> free logs. Each FOX is under some "pressure" to get  
> the log  
> posted within 24 hours after the hunt ends. There has  
> never been a totally error-free preliminary log,  
> although it is  
> clear (to me at least) that all FOXES make a good  
> faith  
> attempt to publish an error-free preliminary log.  
>  
> The issues regarding preliminary logs have been  
> discussed  
> over and over by the QRP-L Committee. I believe  
> the Committee had developed well considered and  
> reasonable rules, including those applicable to logs.  
>  
> >From time-to-time a FOX will write an e-mail to  
> the Committee to inquire how to apply the rules  
> to a unique circumstance viz-a-viz a log issue.  
> The Committee votes on the matter and advises the  
> FOX how the matter should be handled under the  
> Rules.  
>

> After reviewing the posting on these issues, I, for  
> one,  
> see no reason for revising any of the current rules  
> that  
> apply to logs.  
>  
> Tom, N1TP  
> Naples, Florida  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 20:12:51 -0500  
From: "N8IE" <n8ie@woh.rr.com>  
To: "QRP-1" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>, "FPQRP-1" <fpqrp-1@mpna.com>  
Subject: [119694] Truffle Log for 2/5/02 V2.0  
Message-ID: <MMEDLKDLONJOAIGICDJAIEBJCIAA.n8ie@woh.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
          charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

2 fixes here. Sorry Diz and Dennis. Fat fingers+skinny keyboard=D'ohhhhhhh!

Thanks to all who showed up for my last run this year.  
Can't wait until next year!

Please send corrections to me.

0131 w5yr 559 tx geo 5w  
0132 k4byf 559 fl oh jack 5w  
0135 k8cv 559 mi walt 5w  
0136 kg4ldy 559 va jim 5w  
0137 w9hl 559 il randy 5w  
0138 wv9n 559 oh randy 5  
0139 k5jhp 559 tx bill 5w  
0140 af4ps 559 fl mac 3w  
0143 k8fp 559 oh daryl 5w

0144 w8diz 559 oh diz 5w  
0145 k5dw 559 tx don 5w  
0146 k8mia 559 wv jim 5w  
0146 n1tp 559 fl tom 5w  
0148 kk5na 559 tx joe 5w  
0148 k4fb 559 fl paul 5w  
0150 w5usj 559 tx chas 5w  
0152 ac5jh 559 ok tom 5w  
0152 aj4ay 559 al jay 5w  
0153 k8kfj 559 wv gary 5w  
0154 wb0wao 559 mi dennis 2w  
0157 wr5o 559 tx dave 5w  
0158 n9ik 599 il thor 500mw

72, oo

Dan, N8IE

FPQRP #-6, FISTS #4985

QRP-1 #1404, ARCI #11003

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 18:10:33 -0700  
From: Earl Murphy <earlmurf@telusplanet.net>  
To: QRP-CANADA <qrp-canada@neale.gpfn.sk.ca>, QRP-L <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119695] WANTED- TO BUY  
Message-ID: <3C686B89.23048D7@telusplanet.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

GANG:

Thank-You for all the offers....I have found ALL the units I was looking for...

Regards and 72's....Earl (VA6RF)

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 20:19:28 -0500  
From: Hank Kohl K8DD <k8dd@arrl.net>  
To: n6wg@earthlink.net,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119696] Re: Keyer question  
Message-ID: <5.1.0.14.2.20020211201122.040ba9a0@mail.arenet.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

The Logic keyers and the old Lance Johnson Kansas City keyers will let you do this. There may be others, like some of the older AEA keyers.

It's fairly common in contests to CQ at, for example, 30 wpm.

When the exchange is "TU 5NN 246"

the memory would have <up 4 wpm> TU 5NN <down 4 wpm> 246

Everybody knows they are 5NN but the serial number is real important!

The major contest programs - CT NA & TRlog - will allow you to do this also. NA will allow you to plug a paddle into the keying interface, so you don't need a keyer - use the computer as your keyer.

73 Hank K8DD

At 2/11/02 02:44 PM -0800, Bob Tellefsen wrote:

>All this discussion of keyers got me to thinking.

>In some contests I've heard stations keying along at a fairly comfortable

>pace, perhaps 25 wpm or so. When they send a report from a memory button,

>it is at a faster pace, then the guy goes back to keying at his 25 wpm.

>Does anyone know of a keyer that lets you store and send memory contents at

>a different speed than what you are keying manually?

>73, Bob N6WG

\*/ Hank Kohl K8DD k8dd@arrl.net

\*/ ARRL TS <http://www.qsl.net/k8dd>

\*/ MI-QRP - Vice Pres. QRP-ARCI - Director

\*/

If God intended you to be on single sideband, he would have given you only one nostril. - Steve, K2PTS

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 19:41:18 -0600

From: bob evinger <wd9eka@arrl.net>

To: qrp <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>, wvara <wvara@lightning.qrp.com>

Subject: [119697] qrp sort of.. simple rf remote control

Message-ID: <3C6872BE.4020003@arrl.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I am looking for ideas for a simple transmitter/receiver remote control of some lights. Would prefer something that wont take weeks to acquire parts and build.

The application: I have a horse barn that is about 150 feet from the house. Right now I have an extension cord ran out to it to power lights in the tack room and stables. I am planning on powering the lights with a solar panel/battery/inverter since the lights are infrequently used but am looking for a simple rf linking device that I can use to power up at least one light from the house. Right now I am using an X-10 controller but once I "cut the cord" I need a wireless solution.

Any ideas, please respond direct and thanks.

bob

--

Bob Evinger WD9EKA/AAR5MG(Army MARS operator)  
If Guns Cause Crime, Then Matches Cause Arson.

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 21:00:55 -0500  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <wd9eka@arrl.net>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119698] Re: qrp sort of.. simple rf remote control  
Message-ID: <000701c1b369\$1ce82b40\$0600a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Well, first off, I think you need to better define the 'environment'. For example, is the barn in site? And if so, is it a situation where it would STAY in sight at times when you might want to 'change' things with the remote? (And I don't mean the barn would move! I mean like common fog or other weather conditions. Or even tree leaves in the way at certain times of the year.)

If so, I would consider a laser pointer that you modulate with tones. Then a simple detector to a 'flip flop' for 'on off'.

Mike

----- Original Message -----  
From: "bob evinger" <wd9eka@arrl.net>

> I am looking for ideas for a simple transmitter/receiver remote control  
> of some lights. Would prefer something that wont take weeks to acquire  
> parts and build.  
>  
> The application: I have a horse barn that is about 150 feet from the  
> house. Right now I have an extension cord ran out to it to power lights  
> in the tack room and stables. I am planning on powering the lights with  
> a solar panel/battery/inverter since the lights are infrequently used  
> but am looking for a simple rf linking device that I can use to power up  
> at least one light from the house. Right now I am using an X-10  
> controller but once I "cut the cord" I need a wireless solution.  
>  
> Any ideas, please respond direct and thanks.  
>  
> bob  
> --  
> Bob Evinger WD9EKA/AAR5MG(Army MARS operator)  
> If Guns Cause Crime, Then Matches Cause Arson.  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 21:02:26 -0500 (EST)  
From: baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com  
To: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119699] Re: Field Strength and things that don't make sense....  
Message-ID: <20020211205809.S84208-100000@unix1.vhost.min.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002, George, W5YR wrote:

>  
> But, frankly, other than as a modulation monitor, I could never really find  
> any use for it in terms of tuning antennas, etc. Just too ambiguous as to  
> the source of the field . . .

Well, when we learn, the more senses we involve the more we retain...so,  
one use would be to look at it when sending CW...observing the rythmn of  
the meter movement could reinforce the rythmn of the sound we hear.

But, in actuality, the glow of a neon bulb with either CW or Phone  
has always been a beauty to the eye.

Now...if we could only convince someone to make neon bulbs for QRP power.

(Guess I got spoiled when I was running a rig with an 813 final and full AM Modulation and hung 40 watt Flourescent tubes off the ends of the dipoles....it sure did look neat).

Thom

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 20:14:12 -0600  
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
To: baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119700] Re: Field Strength and things that don't make sense....  
Message-ID: <3C687A74.2AA69BE5@att.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com wrote:

>  
> On Mon, 11 Feb 2002, George, W5YR wrote:  
>  
> >  
> > But, frankly, other than as a modulation monitor, I could never really find  
> > any use for it in terms of tuning antennas, etc. Just too ambiguous as to  
> > the source of the field . . .  
>  
> Well, when we learn, the more senses we involve the more we retain...so,  
> one use would be to look at it when sending CW...observing the rythmn of  
> the meter movement could reinforce the rythmn of the sound we hear.

I remember doing that a lot and wondering why the meter couldn't keep up with all those dits from the bug! <:}

>  
> But, in actuality, the glow of a neon bulb with either CW or Phone  
> has always been a beauty to the eye.  
>  
> Now...if we could only convince someone to make neon bulbs for QRP power.

They are called LED's . . .

>  
> (Guess I got spoiled when I was running a rig with an 813 final and full  
> AM Modulation and hung 40 watt Flourescent tubes off the ends of the  
> dipoles....it sure did look neat).

You are either omniscient, or pulling my leg, or have read a recent posting, or we have a really weird coincidence in our time lines! Do you read the Elecraft reflector? Just in case you don't I am forwarding a recent posting to you.

72/73/oo, George W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas  
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county EM13qe  
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 56th year and it just keeps getting better!  
QRP-L 1373 NETXQRP 6 SOC 262 COG 8 FPQRP 404 TEN-X 11771  
Icom IC-756PRO #02121 Kachina #91900556 IC-765 #02437

All outgoing email virus-checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2002

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 20:12:05 -0600  
From: Todd Enders <enders@bolshoi.cc.misu.nodak.edu>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119701] FOX -- AG0T, Your 2/12 Cub Fox, REALLY! :-)  
Message-ID: <200202120212.AA01160@bolshoi.cc.misu.nodak.edu>  
Content-Type: text/plain  
Mime-Version: 1.0 (NeXT Mail 4.2mach\_patches v148.2)

There appeared to be some confusion all the way around about my posting yesterday regarding being the Cub Fox for 2/12. Indeed, I'd juxtaposed my date with Tony, KB9YIG, but it turns out it was all for the best, as he had some scheduling conflicts with the 12th, and I couldn't make it the 19th.

So yes, I really *\*will\** be the Cub Fox tomorrow night! :-)  
Check my previous posting for the gory details, but in a nutshell, look for me around 7.055 MHz, starting at 0200Z, working UP. Come one, come all! :-) I have a big bag of pelts to hand out, and I'd like to give one to every one of you who stops by.

72/73,

Todd, AG0T

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 20:57:24 -0600  
From: bob evinger <wd9eka@arrl.net>  
To: baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com



Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119702] Re: Field Strength and things that don't make sense....  
Message-ID: <3C688494.2070503@arrl.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Don't know about 40 watt flourescents, but I hung one of the old big 15 watt flourescents on my 80 meter dipole when I was first licensed, too many years ago. Had one of the other local hams point out to me that I will be eligible for qcwa in a few months, was a sobering moment. Lived out in the country so didnt have to worry about shaking up any of the neighbors.

bob

baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com wrote:

> On Mon, 11 Feb 2002, George, W5YR wrote:  
>  
>  
>>But, frankly, other than as a modulation monitor, I could never really find  
>>any use for it in terms of tuning antennas, etc. Just too ambiguous as to  
>>the source of the field . . .  
>>  
>  
> Well, when we learn, the more senses we involve the more we retain...so,  
> one use would be to look at it when sending CW...observing the rythmn of  
> the meter movement could reinforce the rythmn of the sound we hear.  
>  
> But, in actuality, the glow of a neon bulb with either CW or Phone  
> has always been a beauty to the eye.  
>  
> Now...if we could only convince someone to make neon bulbs for QRP power.  
>  
> (Guess I got spoiled when I was running a rig with an 813 final and full  
> AM Modulation and hung 40 watt Flourescent tubes off the ends of the  
> dipoles....it sure did look neat).  
>  
> Thom  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>

--

Bob Evinger WD9EKA/AAR5MG(Army MARS operator)  
If Guns Cause Crime, Then Matches Cause Arson.

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 20:52:57 -0600  
From: bob evinger <wd9eka@arrl.net>  
To: Mike Yetsko <myetsko@insydesw.com>, qrp <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119703] Re: qrp sort of.. simple rf remote control  
Message-ID: <3C688389.8020203@arrl.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

A little more information:

Building is in clear line of sight. Distance is probably far enough that a really heavy fog would cut off a laser, but the number of times a year that we get that heavy a fog I would not consider that an issue. Trees aren't an issue at this time and by the time they get big enough to be a concern. I'll probably be needing to replace parts anyway.

I haven't started doing any heavy searches yet for possibilities. I even have an old Rad Shack 27mhz rc transmitter and receiver boards that I bought, umm too many years ago(probably 20+) that I thought I would eventually find an application for. I don't know which is more embarrassing that I haven't found a use for it, or that I have kept those boards that long and know exactly where they are...

And hey, this barn is 14x32 foot on skids. While it is staked down, uncommanded moving might not be out of the question. But if it does turning the lights on in it will be the least of my concerns.

Oh, and I "threatened" my daughter that if she doesn't take care of her horse, that tack room with a little bit of insulation would make a mighty fine ham shack.

bob

Mike Yetsko wrote:

> Well, first off, I think you need to better define the 'environment'. For  
> example, is the barn in site? And if so, is it a situation where it would  
> STAY in sight at times when you might want to 'change' things with  
> the remote? (And I don't mean the barn would move! I mean like  
> common fog or other weather conditions. Or even tree leaves in the  
> way at certain times of the year.)

>  
> If so, I would consider a laser pointer that you modulate with tones.  
> Then a simple detector to a 'flip flop' for 'on off'.  
>  
> Mike  
>  
> ----- Original Message -----  
> From: "bob evinger" <wd9eka@arrl.net>  
>  
>  
>>I am looking for ideas for a simple transmitter/receiver remote control  
>>of some lights. Would prefer something that wont take weeks to acquire  
>>parts and build.  
>>  
>>The application: I have a horse barn that is about 150 feet from the  
>>house. Right now I have an extension cord ran out to it to power lights  
>>in the tack room and stables. I am planning on powering the lights with  
>>a solar panel/battery/inverter since the lights are infrequently used  
>>but am looking for a simple rf linking device that I can use to power up  
>>at least one light from the house. Right now I am using an X-10  
>>controller but once I "cut the cord" I need a wireless solution.  
>>  
>>Any ideas, please respond direct and thanks.  
>>  
>> bob  
>>--  
>>Bob Evinger WD9EKA/AAR5MG(Army MARS operator)  
>>If Guns Cause Crime, Then Matches Cause Arson.  
>>  
>>  
>>  
>  
>  
>

--  
Bob Evinger WD9EKA/AAR5MG(Army MARS operator)  
If Guns Cause Crime, Then Matches Cause Arson.

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 13:25:33 -0700  
From: Monte Stark <ku7y@qsl.net>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119704] Re: Learning CW, Koch, Farnsworth, Etc.  
Message-ID: <3C6828BD.756B246E@qsl.net>

MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Hi All,

Wow, it looks like I need to make something clear again....

While I did learn CW using dots and dashes written on paper I DO NOT advise anyone to do that. I know of no system that teaches it like that.

But, if you did ever learn some or all of the code that way, take heart. I have known many hams who did and went on to become very good CW operators with speeds well above 40 wpm. The advantage we all had back then was that we didn't have all the experts handy to let us know just how hard things were supposed to be or how we were hurting ourselves. We just bumbled along in our ignorant bliss and learned CW and got as fast as we wanted to!

So, if you are just starting DO NOT learn it that way. Listen to it. Of course you MUST use a computer to do it. We didn't have such things. We did have paper tape machines but most of us couldn't afford one of them. There were some 78 rpm records out there but again, most of us couldn't afford them either.

So it was a combination of a key/buzzer that most of us made from an old door bell ringer, hack saw blade, screw, batteries and some kind of receiver. Most of the old short wave receivers didn't have BFO's in them so there was no tone, just the hiss. (To see what this sounds like, set your RX to AM and go listen to CW. That's really what we were listening to back then.) And all you could do was to hear a letter sound, like "hiss" "hiiiiisssssss" and look at your list to find "A".

How long did it take to learn it that way?? Different for everyone just as it is today but I was over 5 wpm in less than 2 weeks and had no trouble passing the test at the FCC office. It took about 6 weeks for my ticket to arrive. Less than a month after that came I was back down at the FCC office and got my General.

It seems to me that all I was doing was using the paper to learn the sounds. But we have much better tools now.

This gets to what BruceM said about \*\*DESIRE\*\*. If you have it you will succeed, if not, you won't.

OK, that's enough of the "old days"!

Back in my hole.....

--

73,

Ron, KU7Y

ku7y@qsl.net

Full Time RVing somewhere in the West

Currently in Brenda, AZ

QRP-L #17

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 22:44:31 -0500  
From: "Lee Mairs" <lmairs@cox.rr.com>  
To: <2n2-40@yahoogroups.com>, "qrpl" <qrpl@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119705] MV109 Varactors  
Message-ID: <012a01c1b377\$9532d060\$6501a8c0@cox.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I want to add the RIT circuit to my 2N2-20, but can't find any place that has MV109 varactors. Seems to me that I saw someone offering these recently. Anybody remember who?

73 de Lee

km4yy

Professor Goddard does not know the relation between action and reaction and the need to have something better than a vacuum against which to react. He seems to lack the basic knowledge ladled out daily in high schools.

--1921 New York Times editorial about Robert Goddard's revolutionary rocket work.

-----

Date: 11 Feb 2002 22:40:54 EST  
From: Michael Goins <mgoins@usa.net>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [119706] WTB: Joe Carr's Loop Antenna Handbook  
Message-ID: <20020212034054.16644.qmail@cpdvg100.netaddress.usa.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Anyone have a copy of Joe Carr's Loop book that they'd part with? Looking=to  
learn all I can about small transmitting loops.

mike  
wb5yjx  
1 watt all the time

-----  
Get free e-mail and a permanent address at <http://www.amexmail.com/?A=3D1=>

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 22:44:33 -0800  
From: Paul Stroud <aa4xx@ipass.net>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu, klqrp@knightlites.org  
Subject: [119707] AA4XX 250mW 30M QRSS Beacon Report  
Message-ID: <3C68B9D1.BAE1C0C4@ipass.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Gang,

Thanks to everyone who listened for the 30M QRSS10 experimental beacon this past Sunday. Several listeners reported that even at 250mW, the beacon was sometimes quite loud (AE5K RST 579, W8DIZ RST 539-589). Simultaneous copy was occurring in EU and the Western Canadian provinces.

Several stations reported that they thought they would have been able to copy the beacon at the low milliwatt level, given the good condx. We will give them that chance before long...

Most of the listeners got the Argo DSP program up and running quickly with few hitches. This program is available at <http://www.qsl.net/padan/>

The beacon tx consists of a DDSVF0 driving the tx strip of a Wilderness Sierra. The antenna is a ladderline fed dipole up 60 feet, broadside NE/SW from North Carolina. Keying is done with Rik Strobbe's QRSS software.

On the listening end, a number of different receivers and antennas were used. K2UD from NY gets a special attaboy for copying the beacon with his portable Sony ICF-2010 with built in whip! Now that's something to crow abt, Howard... W0CH did it the old fashioned way, by decoding the 10 sec dots and 30 sec dashes with the second hand on his watch. He patiently wrote the entire sequence down on a scratch pad. That is a class act, Dave.

All the reports were helpful and encouraging, ensuring that more sessions will be forthcoming. Below is a list of the reporting stations:

|        |        |         |                        |
|--------|--------|---------|------------------------|
| W8DIZ  | Dieter | OH      | 470' horizontal loop   |
| KD1YV  | Jim    | CT      |                        |
| AE5K   | Don    | AR      | Carolina Beam          |
| ON5EX  | Johan  | Belgium | Carolina Windom        |
| VE7SL  | Steve  | BC      | 160M half-sloper       |
| VE3FAL | Fred   | ON      | 30M dipole             |
| ON6UL  | Luk    | Belgium | 40M inv vee            |
| W0CH   | Dave   | MO      |                        |
| AE4IC  | Bob    | NC      | Horiz loop             |
| N4HAY  | Dick   | NC      | Carolina Windom        |
| K2UD   | Howard | NY      | Sony ICF-2010 whip ant |
| VE6KBS | Karl   | AB      | R7 vert                |
| AK0B   | Stan   | MO      | 137' LW and 30M dipole |

72, Paul AA4XX Raleigh, NC

-----

Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 22:44:58 -0500  
From: "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
To: <lmairs@cox.rr.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119708] Re: MV109 Varactors  
Message-ID: <175201c1b377\$a8a43b20\$7001a8c0@lwrnc1.in.home.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

MVAM109 Varactor

<http://www.kitsandparts.com/specials.html>

Enjoy!

73/72/71! de Brice KA8MAV  
\* Tiny-Tornado Transceiver Kits \*  
<http://www.QRPp-I.com>

----- Original Message -----

From: "Lee Mairs" <lairs@cox.rr.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2002 10:44 PM  
Subject: MV109 Varactors

> I want to add the RIT circuit to my 2N2-20, but can't find any place that  
> has MV109 varactors. Seems to me that I saw someone offering these  
> recently. Anybody remember who?  
> 73 de Lee  
> km4yy  
>  
> Professor Goddard does not know the relation between action and reaction  
and  
> the  
> need to have something better than a vacuum against which to react. He  
> seems to  
> lack the basic knowledge ladled out daily in high schools.  
> --1921 New York Times editorial about Robert Goddard's revolutionary  
> rocket work.  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 22:47:38 -0500  
From: "ZOOM" <kandrparker@sympatico.ca>  
To: <mgoins@usa.net>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119709] Re: Joe Carr's Loop Antenna Handbook  
Message-ID: <009401c1b378\$043564a0\$c791fea9@wishfullko46s4>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Mike also search the net. There are many great articles on loops with  
the theory behind them.



Here are a few sites:

<http://home.global.co.za/~tdamatta/loops.html>

[http://www.qsl.net/mnqrp/Loop/Mag\\_Loops.htm](http://www.qsl.net/mnqrp/Loop/Mag_Loops.htm)

<http://www.qsl.net/aa5tb/loop.html>

Start small and work up. Loops are great I have an AEA ISOL00P and another I built out of copper tubing with a trombone tuning mechanism all thanks to Bill Jones KD7S article in QST some years ago.

Regards,

Robert

VE3RPF

----- Original Message -----

From: "Michael Goins" <mgoins@usa.net>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Monday, February 11, 2002 10:40 PM

Subject: WTB: Joe Carr's Loop Antenna Handbook

> Anyone have a copy of Joe Carr's Loop book that they'd part with? Looking to

> learn all I can about small transmitting loops.

>

> mike

> wb5yjx

> 1 watt all the time

>

>

> -----  
> Get free e-mail and a permanent address at <http://www.amexmail.com/?A=1>

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 23:15:28 -0500 (EST)

From: baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com

To: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>

Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [119710] Re: Field Strength and things that don't make sense....

Message-ID: <20020211230746.W87232-100000@unix1.vhost.min.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002, George, W5YR wrote:

> >

> > Well, when we learn, the more senses we involve the more we retain...so,

> > one use would be to look at it when sending CW...observing the rythmn of

> > the meter movement could reinforce the rythmn of the sound we hear.  
>  
> I remember doing that a lot and wondering why the meter couldn't keep up  
> with all those dits from the bug! <:}

By the time I got as bug, I had acquired a sick some\_kind\_a\_bc\_I\_forget receiver....and used it to listen to my sending...It got to the point that I could use one hand on the bug and the other one did all the fine tuning of the monitor receiver to get the tone I liked...and that might be different than what the other receiver might hear.

Back then, being young enough to hear(g), I could even zerobeat right down to the cycle...so I was Mr. On Frequency, Mr. Good Signal.

>  
> > > But, in actuality, the glow of a neon bulb with either CW or Phone  
> > has always been a beauty to the eye.  
> >  
> > Now...if we could only convince someone to make neon bulbs for QRP power.  
>  
> They are called LED's . . .

Yea, but LED's don't shimmer like those old "BIG" neons did.

> >  
> > (Guess I got spoiled when I was running a rig with an 813 final and full  
> > AM Modulation and hung 40 watt Flourescent tubes off the ends of the  
> > dipoles....it sure did look neat).  
>  
> You are either omniscient, or pulling my leg, or have read a recent  
> posting, or we have a really weird coincidence in our time lines! Do you  
> read the Elecraft reflector? Just in case you don't I am forwarding a  
> recent posting to you.

I missed the original post on the Elecraft list....but our rigs were very similar...I didn't have a link output...some kinda pi network...with this beautiful roller inductor and some dem vacuum(sp) vairiable caps.

I tried the pencil tune up exactly once! Then had the neon and the tube outside.

You can imagine when I first got the K2 on the air....It's darn hard to light them rare gasses with 5 watts!

thom

baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com  
<http://www.baltimoremd.com/>  
<http://www.baltimorehon.com/>  
<http://www.zerobeat.net>

Thom LaCosta K3HRN Webmaster  
Baltimore's Home Page  
Home of the Baltimore Lexicon  
Home of The QRP Web Ring

and Drake Mail List Pages

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 23:19:01 -0500 (EST)  
From: baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com  
To: bob evinger <wd9eka@arrl.net>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119711] Re: Field Strength and things that don't make sense....  
Message-ID: <20020211231546.A87232-100000@unix1.vhost.min.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002, bob evinger wrote:

> Don't know about 40 watt flourescents, but I hung one of the old big 15  
> watt flourescents on my 80 meter dipole when I was first licensed, too  
> many years ago.

Wasn't it great? All that light? One Christmas season I set up a station  
at a Mall for Christmas Traffic. Couldn't figure out how to drag in the  
customers....until it dawned on me that the roof of the mall was mostly  
glass....so I installed the dipole with the tubes above the glass.

I got more traffic from the flashing lights than some of the stores  
did...and a few new hams, too.

thom

|                                                                         |                               |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com                                             | Thom LaCosta K3HRN Webmaster  |
| <a href="http://www.baltimoremd.com/">http://www.baltimoremd.com/</a>   | Baltimore's Home Page         |
| <a href="http://www.baltimorehon.com/">http://www.baltimorehon.com/</a> | Home of the Baltimore Lexicon |
| <a href="http://www.zerobeat.net">http://www.zerobeat.net</a>           | Home of The QRP Web Ring      |
|                                                                         | and Drake Mail List Pages     |

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 22:45:57 -0600  
From: "Jay Bromley" <w5jay@alltel.net>  
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Cc: "Roy Lewallen" <w7el@eznec.com>, "George Dobbs" <g3rjv@gqrp.com>,  
"Kelsey Mikel" <k5pwr@amsat.org>,  
Subject: [119712] Arkiecon April 6th  
Message-ID: <008401c1b380\$2ac19500\$6518150a@Alltel>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Gang,

I am about two months behind in my postings for this year's Arkiecon. I am sorry for any inconvenience this may have caused. For all the current information on Arkiecon, please go to the following link:  
<http://www.qsl.net/kk5ku/arkiecon.html>

There is also information in QST, ARRL Webpage, etc.

For those new to the QRP game, Arkiecon is a QRP Forum that is held each Spring in Fort Smith, AR. and everyone is welcomed to attend. We have a no host dinner at the Ribeye on Friday evening before Arkiecon. On Saturday morning through Saturday early afternoon, we have our QRP forums. After Arkiecon wraps up on Sat. afternoon, the fun doesn't stop. We have QRP demonstrations with rigs and antennas. Later, Saturday evening is QRP Open House where the Fort Smith QRP Group sponsors a free barbeque. More fellowship, building contests, operating, aggravating Doug Hendricks, etc. Also we will be looking to make contacts with our brethren hams attending Atlanticon QRP convention that will be on the same weekend as Arkiecon. If you are in the Midwest or Northeast the weekend of April 6th, you owe it to yourself to attend one of these fine QRP conventions!!

The format for Arkiecon will be changed slightly this year. We will have as many forums but not as many speakers. Let me explain. This year, we are pleased to announce to have two of the finest guest speakers available anywhere. They are coming a long way and we feel one 45 min. forum will not do these guest speakers or their subjects justice. Each speaker will have two forums alternating between themselves. More on the subjects and times will be posted later on the website. I will continue to make updated announcements here on QRP-L. I almost forgot to tell you the two speakers are: Rev. George Dobbs and Roy Lewallen. Now you see why I am abusing these gentlemen a little more than usual. Just a quick peek on the subjects. George's topics will be on QRP and Homebrewing and Roy's will be on antenna basics and introduction to Software Antenna Modeling. These two subjects are always the hottest topics in ham radio today, especially with ARRL's online course that will be using Roy's antenna modeling software.

Of course we will have our famous NorCal MC: Mr. Doug Hendricks. Others attending to keep Doug in line will be: Jim "Dr. Megacycle" Duffey, "Gentleman Jim" Cates, Vern "Antenna Guru" Wright, and Dave "QRP Mobile Golfer" Yarns. Also attending will be the finest QRP hams that you will meet anywhere on the face of the globe from Oklahoma, Nebraska, Texas, Missouri, Louisiana, Kansas and Tennessee. Plus many, many others.

Arkiecon is supported by the Fort Smith Area Amateur Radio Club Hams, and

the Fort Smith QRP Group. The money raised from the Tuna Tin II and VE3DNL kit sells fund the expenses. If you are new to QRP and do not know about the Tuna Tin II and VE3DNL kits go to the website at:

<http://www.fix.net/~jparker/norcal.html>

<http://www.fix.net/~jparker/norcal/marker/marker.htm>

<http://www.fix.net/~jparker/norcal/bttfut/bttfut.htm>

I want to thank everyone who has purchased a kit from the Fort Smith QRP Group because your support helps make Arkiecon possible. QRPer's here in the Midwest benefit greatly from it.

I hope to see you this Spring here in Fort Smith.

Thank you from w5jay/jay..

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 00:13:20 -0500  
From: "Lee Mairs" <lmairs@cox.rr.com>  
To: <2n2-40@yahooogroups.com>, "qrpl" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119713] Re: MV209 Varactors  
Message-ID: <013c01c1b384\$09d39240\$6501a8c0@cox.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Sorry. I meant MV 209 varactors.  
73 de Lee, KM4YY

User error. Smash forehead on keyboard to continue...

----- Original Message -----  
From: "Lee Mairs" <lmairs@cox.rr.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2002 10:44 PM  
Subject: MV109 Varactors

> I want to add the RIT circuit to my 2N2-20, but can't find any place that  
> has MV109 varactors. Seems to me that I saw someone offering these  
> recently. Anybody remember who?  
> 73 de Lee  
> km4yy  
>  
> Professor Goddard does not know the relation between action and reaction

and  
> the  
> need to have something better than a vacuum against which to react. He  
> seems to  
> lack the basic knowledge ladled out daily in high schools.  
> --1921 New York Times editorial about Robert Goddard's revolutionary  
> rocket work.  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Mon, 11 Feb 2002 23:17:47 -0600  
From: "Jay Bromley" <w5jay@alltel.net>  
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119714] The REAL Big Dogs of QRP!!!!!!!!!!!!!!  
Message-ID: <008c01c1b384\$9cbbe8a0\$6518150a@Alltel>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
          charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Over the last year, I have gotten some of the nicest notes and compliments from the QRP community. I haven't had the time to properly thank everyone until now because I have been putting all of my time into getting the Tuna Tin II kits into as many hands as fast as I can. In fact, sometimes, I will receive comments like "looks like the Fort Smith QRP Group is more active than a lot of the other QRP clubs". While I love the nice compliments, nothing could be further from the truth!

Without NJQRP, and George Heron, we wouldn't have such a nice manual to include with our Tuna Tin II Kit. To give credit where credit is due, George Heron wrote the manual for the Fort Smith QRP Group Tuna Tin II. George also took care of the printing for us. Dave Fifield and Doug Hendricks laid out our new VE3DNL Marker/Generator board. Doug rewrote and updated the VE3DNL manual while he was here last summer. Doug and NorCal have supplied us many times over with parts to help on both kits and continue to do so. These people and groups are the REAL movers and shakers of the QRP Community. The next time you see them, please be sure and give them a round of thanks. There has and will always be unsung heros working in the background.

I also want to personally thank the above individuals and groups because without their help, time, and money, the Fort Smith QRP Group would not have been able to kit the VE3DNL or the Tuna Tin IIs.

Thank you all!!

73 de W5Jay/Jay..

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 05:40:08 +0000  
From: "Dennis Ponsness" <wb0wao@hotmail.com>  
To: lmairs@cox.rr.com, qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [119715] Re: MV209 Varactors  
Message-ID: <F16biw57x9J2wg4p5yy0001fc98@hotmail.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

>Sorry. I meant MV 209 varactors.  
>73 de Lee, KM4YY  
>

Hosfelt had them a while back, don't know if they still do.

72

Dennis - WB0WAO

-----  
MSN Photos is the easiest way to share and print your photos:  
<http://photos.msn.com/support/worldwide.aspx>

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 00:53:25 -0500  
From: "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
To: <lmairs@cox.rr.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119716] Re: MV209 Varactors  
Message-ID: <004301c1b389\$96b329a0\$7001a8c0@lwrnc1.in.home.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

MV209 Varactor  
<http://www.kitsandparts.com/specials.html>

73/72/71! de Brice KA8MAV  
★ Tiny-Tornado Transceiver Kits ★  
<http://www.QRPp-I.com>

----- Original Message -----

From: "Lee Mairs" <lairs@cox.rr.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 12:13 AM  
Subject: Re: MV209 Varactors

> Sorry. I meant MV 209 varactors.

> 73 de Lee, KM4YY

>

> User error. Smash forehead on keyboard to continue...

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Lee Mairs" <lairs@cox.rr.com>

> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

> Sent: Monday, February 11, 2002 10:44 PM

> Subject: MV109 Varactors

>

>

> > I want to add the RIT circuit to my 2N2-20, but can't find any place  
that

> > has MV109 varactors. Seems to me that I saw someone offering these

> > recently. Anybody remember who?

> > 73 de Lee

> > km4yy

> >

> > Professor Goddard does not know the relation between action and reaction  
> and

> > the

> > need to have something better than a vacuum against which to react. He

> > seems to

> > lack the basic knowledge ladled out daily in high schools.

> > --1921 New York Times editorial about Robert Goddard's revolutionary

> > rocket work.

> >

> >

>

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 06:30:50 -0500  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>



To: <w5yr@att.net>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119717] Re: Field Strength and things that don't make sense....  
Message-ID: <005301c1b3b8\$baf5a660\$0600a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002, George, W5YR wrote:

> But, frankly, other than as a modulation monitor, I could never really  
find  
> any use for it in terms of tuning antennas, etc. Just too ambiguous as  
to  
> the source of the field . . .

I found that at higher frequencies, a neon bulb would actually detune an  
antenna slightly. I used to use this when I needed to 'add' a small bit  
to  
an antenna for CB when a customer 'cut' off just a bit too much and  
couldn't get it to tune 'perfect'. If it was close, I'd just clip off one  
lead of a neon bulb, wrap it around the end of the whip, and put  
clear tubing over it. Sure, it wasn't too durable, but it lasted for a  
while, and people liked the little light flashing...

Mike

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 07:15:57 -0500  
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: ku7y@qsl.net  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119718] Re: Learning CW, Koch, Farnsworth, Etc.  
Message-ID: <3C69077D.E2CB5E86@erols.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Ron,

The key ingredient to learning is desire. Back in the old days, before  
we all had \$1000 computers to teach us, and maybe before we knew any  
other hams who lived near us, we learned the code many different ways,  
but we did learn it because we wanted to learn it! This desire has to

be present whether we use our computers or any other method! We went on to be good CW operators because we wanted to be good CW operators! You can replace desire with motivation, desire is again a key ingredient of motivation!

73

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 07:17:53 -0500  
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: richqrp@cox.net  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119719] Re: FT-817  
Message-ID: <3C6907F1.98731C22@erols.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Rich,

>  
> Hey I have been hitting too many buttons. Only one of my "mode" buttons  
> works, did I turn something off?  
>  
Duh, how are we supposed to know? You never said what it is doing or not doing!

73

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 05:54:44 -0700 (MST)  
From: "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>  
To: Lee Mairs <lmairs@cox.rr.com>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119720] Re: MV109 Varactors  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0202120550540.1907-100000@Daisy.dog>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Hi Lee, Dan's Small Parts had all the hard to find stuff for my 2N2/40 and I just got 2 MV2115 Tuning diodes from there. He might have the MV109 as well. I bought Dan's RIT kit which is \$6.00 and includes the pot and a printed wiring board. You mount this little board to the back of the pot.

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002, Lee Mairs wrote:

> I want to add the RIT circuit to my 2N2-20, but can't find any place that  
> has MV109 varactors. Seems to me that I saw someone offering these  
> recently. Anybody remember who?  
> 73 de Lee  
> km4yy  
>  
> Professor Goddard does not know the relation between action and reaction and  
> the  
> need to have something better than a vacuum against which to react. He  
> seems to  
> lack the basic knowledge ladled out daily in high schools.  
> --1921 New York Times editorial about Robert Goddard's revolutionary  
> rocket work.  
>  
>  
>

--  
Yours Truly,

- Karl F. Larsen, k5di@arrl.net (505) 524-3303 -  
<http://www.zianet.com/k5di/>

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 07:55:32 -0500  
From: "John J. McDonough" <wb8rcr@arrl.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119721] Fw: MV209 Varactors  
Message-ID: <004501c1b3c4\$8f0adbe0\$010044c0@chartermi.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

----- Original Message -----  
From: "John J. McDonough" <wb8rcr@arrl.net>  
To: <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 6:49 AM  
Subject: Re: MV209 Varactors

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
> Subject: Re: MV209 Varactors  
>  
>  
> > MV209 Varactor  
> > <http://www.kitsandparts.com/specials.html>  
>  
> Better than Radio Shack's 0.64 each (10/\$6.10), although if all you wanted  
> was one, lonely diode, your total price would be better at rs. But how  
> could someone resist all those toroids and neat IC's Diz has. Also,  
> depending on where you are, rs would be slower.  
>  
> 72/73 de WB8RCR <http://www.qsl.net/wb8rcr>  
> didileydadidah QRP-L #1446 Code Warriors #35  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:08:50 -0500  
From: KKANALZ@prodigy.net  
To: <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Cc: lenrev@ameritech.net  
Subject: [119722] Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
Message-ID: <AA-6E0378A6AD3C60ABC23A61BD8756C137-ZZ@maillink1.prodigy.net>

Many semi-automated keying systems, such as "TR", mentioned in Len's message (below) allow automated sending of RST, Contact Number, Zone, et cetera \*as well as\* manual sending from a key (or keyer) which is also plugged into the keying system.

The AEA "MorseMatic II" (and "MM III") also have a provision for this (I have one).

>From my observation, stations that are "in demand" (rare zones, islands, etc.) often use such techniques to speed up the response to the callers (such as you and me), since the report is the standard "599" and so on.

It's a phenomena that escapes me, however, since if you are going to send important info like serial number or zone numbrer, it should be at a speed that ANYone could copy....

Karl K - W8TIF

McKinney, Texas  
(just north of W5YR who's in Fairview)

--- Original Message ---

From: "Len Revelle" <lenrev@ameritech.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: RE: Keyer question

>While it's possible in a self contained keyer, most likely the senders you refer to are using logging software that also keys through a serial or parallel port. <snip>

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:10:19 -0500  
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: k8dd@arrl.net  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119723] Re: Keyer question  
Message-ID: <3C69143B.C3F10750@erols.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hank,

>  
> It's fairly common in contests to CQ at, for example, 30 wpm.  
> When the exchange is "TU 5NN 246"  
> the memory would have <up 4 wpm> TU 5NN <down 4 wpm> 246  
> Everybody knows they are 5NN but the serial number is real important!  
> The major contest programs - CT NA & TRlog - will allow you to do this  
> also. NA will allow you to plug a paddle into the keying interface, so you  
> don't need a keyer - use the computer as your keyer.  
>

Doesn't this belie the high speeds used in contesting? If the serial number is al important, why not send it at 5 wp, and skip all the rest, it would be more honest!

73

-----

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:15:50 -0500  
From: David Hinerman <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>  
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [119724] houston radioactivity?  
Message-ID: <5.1.0.14.1.20020212081432.00a68300@ipostoffice.worldnet.att.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Folks,

It turns out I'll be attending a conference in Houston tomorrow (Wed) through Friday noon. Are there any radio stores worth visiting? (Or is that redundant? A radio store is always worth visiting.)

Dave

-----  
Dave Hinerman  
WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:19:13 -0500  
From: KKANALZ@prodigy.net  
To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119725] Wireless paddles & 433 MHz Modules  
Message-ID: <AA-9B3458D5B2E6823C768255E49EC759A7-ZZ@www4.prodigy.net>

The fact that these "data link modules" are available to operate in the 433 MHz band has nothing at all to do with whether or not the user has an amateur license, Ray.

It's an unfortunate fact, but frequencies around 433 MHz are available to "non-licensed" manufacturers on a tertiary basis; this means that their receivers have to accept interference from other (higher licensed) users of that frequency band (e.g., amateur radio operators) \*and\* if their "devices" cause interference to a "higher licensed" user of the UHF band, they \*may\* have to leave that particular frequency band.

Ham Radio is king, in this case, so if you are opening someone's garage door, interfering with their remote transmitter keying device (for example) and snagging all kinds of DX contacts, then the "user" of the 433 MHz device has to accept it (sigh....!) Think of it,

working all that DX under someone else's callsign and never getting a single QSL card for it!

Karl K - W8TIF  
McKinney, Texas  
(just a few miles north of W5YR)

--- Original Message ---

From: Ray Sills <raysills@1stconnect.com>

Subject: Re: Wireless paddles - NOT OT!

<snip>

>.... And..... the 433 MHz version would even be -  
legal- for Amateurs! It's in a US ham band... what a  
thought! <snip>

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:25:46 -0500  
From: Hank Kohl K8DD <k8dd@arrl.net>  
To: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119726] Re: Keyer question  
Message-ID: <5.1.0.14.2.20020212081751.0269dad0@mail.arenet.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 2/12/02 08:10 AM -0500, Bruce Muscolino wrote:

>Hank,

> >

> > It's fairly common in contests to CQ at, for example, 30 wpm.

> > When the exchange is "TU 5NN 246"

> > the memory would have <up 4 wpm> TU 5NN <down 4 wpm> 246

> > Everybody knows they are 5NN but the serial number is real important!

> > The major contest programs - CT NA & TRlog - will allow you to do this

> > also. NA will allow you to plug a paddle into the keying interface, so you

> > don't need a keyer - use the computer as your keyer.

> >

>Doesn't this belie the high speeds used in contesting?

Yes I suppose it does.

>If the serial

>number is al important, why not send it at 5 wp, and skip all the rest,

>it would be more honest!

Because the "signal report" is required in most contests. The serial number or state or power or zone number is the part where you can lose points if you get it wrong.

73 Hank K8DD

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 07:32:51 -0600  
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
To: KKANALZ@prodigy.net  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119727] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
Message-ID: <3C691983.9FF1106D@att.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

KKANALZ@prodigy.net wrote:

> It's a phenomena that escapes me, however, since if  
> you are going to send important info like serial num-  
> ber or zone numbrer, it should be at a speed that  
> ANYone could copy....

Since many CW contest ops \*can\* copy at those speeds, Karl, at least well enough to extract a serial or zone number, etc., they probably think that anyone worth working can also.

>  
Karl K - W8TIF  
> McKinney, Texas  
> (just north of W5YR who's in Fairview)

Thanks for the plug in your sig file! <:}

72/73/00, George W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas  
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county EM13qe  
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 56th year and it just keeps getting better!  
QRP-L 1373 NETXQRP 6 SOC 262 COG 8 FPQRP 404 TEN-X 11771  
Icom IC-756PRO #02121 Kachina #91900556 IC-765 #02437

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:36:06 -0500



From: "Kwik, Ed " <ed.kwik@delphiauto.com>  
To: "QRP-L (E-mail)" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119728] MI QRP net tonight I might be a little late  
Message-ID:  
<9F176F70FD71AC48AFC36F879D2B84E38F3652@tryexch01.NorthAmerica.DelphiAuto.net>  
content-class: urn:content-classes:message  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

It is Tuesday again so it is time for another session for the Michigan QRP net. The net starts at 9:00 PM Eastern Time. But tonight I might be late getting home so the start might be late. If anyone wants, they can start the net without me and I will join in when I get there. The frequency is 3.535 MHz and all hams are welcome.

Ed AB8DF Waterford

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:44:47 -0500  
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: Hank Kohl K8DD <k8dd@arrl.net>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119729] Re: Keyer question  
Message-ID: <3C691C4F.F1841FE@erols.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Well, you should loose points if you copy the signal report incorrectly! What happens if a guy gives you a 57N and you copy it as 5NN, and it is cross checked? Face it, the whole thing is fake. I agree that section, or state, or country is important, but really so should everything else be!

73

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:47:53 -0500  
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: w5yr@att.net  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119730] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying

Message-ID: <3C691D09.13252621@erols.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

George,

>

> Since many CW contest ops \*can\* copy at those speeds, Karl, at least well  
> enough to extract a serial or zone number, etc., they probably think that  
> anyone worth working can also.

>

Oho, another falsehood. In a contest everybody is equally valuable.  
You may not get a new multiplier, or whatever, but you do get the QSO  
points!

73

-----

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:55:47 -0500  
From: "Ronald A Pfeiffer" <Ronald\_A\_Pfeiffer@raytheon.com>  
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU, neqrp@jona1.net  
Subject: [119731] New England QRP SSB NET Tuesday at 8:00PM EST 7.295+/-5Khz  
Message-ID: <0F1B3559DD.8DA891C5-0N85256B41.00704450@and.us.ray.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

BACK TO FORTY!!!!!!

Attempt at holding the NEQRP SSB NET at later time and different  
frequency 8:30PM EST on 7.295 plus/minus 5Khz.

I've monitored these freqs and the AMers seem to be gone by 8:00.  
Only other quiet spot is 7.279-7.280 but someone is usually there.

All are invited to join in.

Ron - N1ZSW

-----

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:18:20 -0600  
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
To: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [119732] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
Message-ID: <3C69242C.58D4E7B5@att.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Bruce, with all respect, you seem not to have participated or even listened to very many "real" contests lately.

The top CW testers will tell you to a man that they start any contest with their keyers set up at 35-40 wpm so as to work that "layer" of ops as quickly as possible and get them in the log. Then they drop down a notch and harvest another layer, etc. etc. as the contest proceeds. When things slow down, they are happy to work any and all at any speed, and QRS as necessary, for the added points. So, I hardly think that my statement qualifies as "another falsehood." It may not be a statement that you agree with, but that does not render it wrong or a "falsehood" merely because you say so.

Sure, everybody is equally valuable, but not everybody has the same station or operator capabilities, so they are *\*not\** treated equally, however unfair that may be. The new op with his 100 watts to a dipole working at 15 wpm is not going to receive the same consideration or enjoy the same success as the multi-op KW+ stations with stacked Yagis on 120 ft towers and multiple towers for each band and a crew of experienced high-speed CW ops.

As with most things, if you intend to win a serious contest as a serious contender, you will have the most power, the best antennas and the best operators you can manage. That is what "contest stations" are all about. And they do not hesitate to use whatever code speed the situation requires, regardless of whether or not the majority of entrants can copy at that speed. And you can take that to the bank . . .

Bruce, I believe that you are a good man - but why must you continually respond to postings with this undertone of bitterness and accusation that the poster inevitably is wrong or should not have said anything to begin with?

We would like to enjoy the benefits of your experience and background, but these veiled insults are becoming very tiresome.

Please treat us as you mention in your posting: "everybody is equally valuable."

72/73/00, George W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas  
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county EM13qe  
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 56th year and it just keeps getting better!  
QRP-L 1373 NETXQRP 6 SOC 262 COG 8 FPQRP 404 TEN-X 11771

Icom IC-756PRO #02121 Kachina #91900556 IC-765 #02437

All outgoing email virus-checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2002

Bruce Muscolino wrote:

>  
> George,  
> >  
> > Since many CW contest ops \*can\* copy at those speeds, Karl, at least well  
> > enough to extract a serial or zone number, etc., they probably think that  
> > anyone worth working can also.  
> >  
> Oho, another falsehood. In a contest everybody is equally valuable.  
> You may not get a new multiplier, or whatever, but you do get the QSO  
> points!  
>  
> 73

--

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 09:38:40 -0500  
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119733] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
Message-ID: <3C6928F0.B2F8AC7E@erols.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

OK George, there you go again, and what I say will not be so nice!

First, I agree with you completely about how contest stations operate. I believe the layer system works, and I also am familiar with, and have told new contesters often, to wait until Sunday afternoon, when things get slower. I know the objective of a contest is to make as MANY contacts as possible. This makes everybody equal in my view. How many times have you ever had someone come back to you at a slower speed? What did you do, ignore him?

Now for the bad part, once again you are trying to intervene, and you are doing it in public. I have said this is the wrong way to intervene with me or anyone else. You have apparently chosen not to read or understand what I said. Suppose you were doing something that made other people

mad, would you want to have it brought up at a club meeting in front of a dozen people? Would it be embarrassing to you? Would you be likely to go back?

I think I contribute something of value to this list. Maybe you don't like how I say things, but if you insist on correcting me in public you will only be the recipient of my delete key, no matter how charitable you think your actions are!

73

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 09:44:53 -0500  
From: "Dave" <wr3i@earthlink.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>, w6toy@erols.com  
Subject: [119734] Re: FT-817  
Message-ID: <3C68E415.2313.17F669@localhost>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT  
Content-description: Mail message body

Bruce,

As an owner of an FT-817 I understood What Rich was asking!  
clearly you are not familiar with the rig! Your response was totally uncalled for.( No actionable information!)

Dave

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 10:44:01 -0500  
From: "Charles Mabbott" <aa8vs@msn.com>  
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [119735] Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying - comment  
Message-ID: <F3XnbnCndg5oQJgAGeU000056df@hotmail.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

Now I see why they always send 599 regardless just avoid this confusion..... 8^P

73

Chuck AA8VS

>From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
>Reply-To: w5yr@att.net  
>To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
>Subject: Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
>Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:18:20 -0600  
>  
>Bruce, with all respect, you seem not to have participated or even listened  
<snip>

-----  
Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>.

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:45:28 -0700 (MST)  
From: "Paul Harden, NA5N" <na5n@rt66.com>  
To: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119736] Re: FT-817  
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.4.10.10202120829210.19023-100000@shell.rt66.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002, Bruce Muscolino wrote:

> > Hey I have been hitting too many buttons. Only one of my "mode" buttons  
> > works, did I turn something off?

> Duh, how are we supposed to know? You never said what it is doing or  
> not doing!

>  
> 73

As the owner of an FT-817, I knew EXACTLY what Rich meant by "one of my mode buttons," as would any owner of an 817. Obviously you don't have an 817 - so why comment on something you know nothing about? Must you comment on EVERY SINGLE post made to this group, and insult those who are trying to gain some knowledge?

DUH !!!

Don't you have a downhill luge run to get to or something?

72, Paul NA5N

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 10:56:08 -0500 (EST)  
From: George Gingell <k3tks@u1.abs.net>  
To: ZOOM <kandrparker@sympatico.ca>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119737] Re: HRO-60 Gift Received  
Message-ID: <20020212105209.Y26365-100000@u1.abs.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

It is way too late for that concern. HI :^}

The previous owner has put lots of Mods. in it already. That makes it O.K. for me to continue the process. :^} The Only Mod. that I have planned is to get it working, if it is not already, and add a QRPP Transmitter and Keyer to it. And maybe an ATU. I don't think it will be made into a Portable Rig. :^} OTOH, the Factory offered a Vibrator Powersupply for it for Field Use. :^}

CUL

Sir George, The First :^}

72 ES

QRP DX TU (C) 1986, G. "Danny" Gingell, K3TKS@ abs.net  
Former QRP A.R.C.I. Net Manager and Board of Director Member.  
Gingell & Company, Ltd. Small Business Telephone Systems  
Commercial Locksmith Services (301) 572-6789 Office & Fax  
George D. Gingell, Jr. 3052 Fairland Road, Silver Spring, MD 20904-7117  
Maryland Milliwatt Club QRP Reference Library, (301) 572-6789 IQRR #1  
Maryland Milliwatt Club Founder and Trustee of Club Station - WQ3RP -  
Grid Square FM19mb 76.94 W - 39.06 N Silver Spring, MD 20904 QRPea.A.

Collector of Quartz Crystals and Telegraph Keys.

"72" = "Wishing You Good QRP" (C) 1991 Oleg Borodin, RV3GM

On Sun, 10 Feb 2002, ZOOM wrote:

> Just a suggestion, but do go drilling holes and modifying that nice HRO if

> you want it to be worth anything in the future.  
>  
> Regards,  
> Robert  
> VE3RPF  
>  
> ----- Original Message -----  
> From: "George Gingell" <k3tks@u1.abs.net>  
> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
> Sent: Sunday, February 10, 2002 8:53 PM  
> Subject: HRO-60 Gift Received  
>  
>  
> > How's this for an unexpected gift. A local friend and Qrper called Friday  
> > and asked if I would like to have an HRO-60 ? FREE at that!  
> >  
> > I had an HRO-5 back when I was in High School. Also a Gift. My Aunt gave  
> > it away while I was in the Air Force. :^{  
> >  
> > This one is more like re-living a Dream. I have not had a chance to do  
> > anything with it yet. It appears to have many Documented Modifications.  
> > By a 6 Land E.E.  
> >  
> > The really Neat part is that it had 6.3 & 240 VDC available for  
> > accessories.  
> >  
> > I actually thought of Stealing one of the 6v6 Audio Amps for a QRPP TX :^{  
> >  
> > Would you believe it has a pair of 6v6's for Audio Amplifiers. 8-10 Watts  
> > of Audio at 8 Ohms or 500 Ohms.  
> >  
> > It also has an input for using the Audio Amplifier with A record Changer.  
> >  
> > I guess that was for when the bands were Dead. :^{  
> >  
> > One other thing that i learned from the Reprinted Manual was that it had  
> > optional Coils Sets to go all the way down 50 Kcs, and up to 54,000 Kcs.  
> >  
> > That's 6 Meters for you whipper snappers. :^{  
> >  
> > I have not looked yet, But I suspect that the 5V4G has been replaced with  
> > Silicon Diodes.  
> >  
> > I also read about a 22 VDC supply and Optional Solid State Product  
> > Detector.  
> >  
> > I knew I held onto that Hickok Tube Tester for a Reason. :^{  
> >



> > One thing for sure, there is plenty of room inside for a QRP Transmitter  
> > and Keyer.  
> >  
> > Hey, It's already a Hybrid rig now :^}  
> >  
> >  
> > Gee, My Birthday isn't even until next month...  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> > Sir George, The First :^}  
> >  
> > 72 ES  
> > QRP DX TU (C) 1986, G. "Danny" Gingell, K3TKS@ abs.net  
> > Former QRP A.R.C.I. Net Manager and Board of Director Member.  
> > Gingell & Company, Ltd. Small Business Telephone Systems  
> > Commercial Locksmith Services (301) 572-6789 Office & Fax  
> > George D. Gingell, Jr. 3052 Fairland Road, Silver Spring, MD 20904-7117  
> > Maryland Milliwatt Club QRP Reference Library, (301) 572-6789 IQRR #1  
> > Maryland Milliwatt Club Founder and Trustee of Club Station - WQ3RP -  
> > Grid Square FM19mb 76.94 W - 39.06 N Silver Spring, MD 20904 QRPea.A.  
> >  
> > Collector of Quartz Crystals and Telegraph Keys.  
> >  
> > "72" = "Wishing You Good QRP" (C) 1991 Oleg Borodin, RV3GM  
> >  
> >  
> >  
>

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 08:59:00 -0700 (MST)  
From: "Paul Harden, NA5N" <na5n@rt66.com>  
To: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119738] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.4.10.10202120845480.19023-100000@shell.rt66.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002, Bruce Muscolino wrote:

> Now for the bad part, once again you are trying to intervene, and you are  
> doing it in public.

Excuse me, but I thought QRP-L \*is\* a PUBLIC forum. George HAD to

intervene, because once again, you've screwed up the whole essence of  
of a good line of discussion.

> You have apparently chosen not to read or understand  
> what I said.

Who can?

> ... but if you insist on correcting me in public you  
> will only be the recipient of my delete key ...

Shame your delete key doesn't work on OUTGOING mail.

72, Paul NA5N

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 10:59:54 -0500  
From: Ron Majewski <majewski@erim-int.com>  
To: qrp-l <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>, Tom <n1tp@worldnet.att.net>  
Subject: [119739] FOX: W8RU Final Log, 8Feb02 (Hunt #31)  
Message-ID: <3C693BFA.FF495589@erim-int.com>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Thanks again to everyone for the QSOs and a great evening.

73,

Ron.

W8RU, Hunt #31, 8Feb02

|      |        |     |    |       |      |
|------|--------|-----|----|-------|------|
| 0201 | N9NE   | 559 | WI | TODD  | 25MW |
| 0204 | W9XU   | 559 | WI | LON   | 5W   |
| 0205 | WA9TZE | 599 | WI | JIM   | 5W   |
| 0205 | W0PWE  | 599 | IA | JERRY | 5W   |
| 0206 | N0UR   | 579 | MN | JIM   | 5W   |
| 0206 | K8DD   | 579 | MI | HANK  | 5W   |
| 0207 | K4JBJ  | 599 | GA | REX   | 5W   |
| 0208 | N4MAP  | 559 | GA | SAM   | 5W   |
| 0209 | W9HL   | 559 | IL | RANDY | 5W   |
| 0209 | K4GT   | 559 | GA | JIM   | 5W   |
| 0210 | N4ROA  | 599 | VA | DAN   | 5W   |

|      |        |     |    |        |       |
|------|--------|-----|----|--------|-------|
| 0211 | K9IUA  | 559 | IA | KEVIN  | 5W    |
| 0211 | W8KC   | 559 | MI | PAUL   | 5W    |
| 0212 | K9DC   | 559 | IN | DAVE   | 5W    |
| 0212 | N0AR   | 559 | MN | SCOTT  | 5W    |
| 0213 | WV9N   | 559 | OH | RANDY  | 5W    |
| 0214 | K3IU   | 579 | RI | KEN    | 5W    |
| 0214 | N9IJ   | 589 | IL | LEN    | 5W    |
| 0215 | WE9K   | 599 | WI | GLENN  | 5W    |
| 0215 | N4TN   | 599 | TN | DON    | 5W    |
| 0216 | K0EVZ  | 559 | ND | DOC    | 5W    |
| 0217 | W0RSP  | 579 | SD | ADE    | 4W    |
| 0218 | N1TP   | 559 | FL | TOM    | 5W    |
| 0218 | AE4Y   | 599 | GA | KENT   | 5W    |
| 0219 | NV4V   | 559 | KY | PETE   | 5W    |
| 0219 | K5OI   | 559 | OK | TIM    | 5W    |
| 0221 | K3PH   | 559 | PA | BOB    | 5W    |
| 0221 | N3ZPQ  | 559 | OH | FRANK  | 4W    |
| 0222 | W0AV   | 599 | MO | GEORGE | 5W    |
| 0223 | K4BYF  | 559 | FL | JACK   | 5W    |
| 0223 | N8VAR  | 559 | OH | RON    | 5W    |
| 0224 | WA8BXN | 599 | OH | MIKE   | 5W    |
| 0224 | AJ4AY  | 579 | AL | JAY    | 5W    |
| 0225 | AF4LQ  | 559 | KY | MIKE   | 5W    |
| 0226 | K4FB   | 579 | FL | PAUL   | 5W    |
| 0227 | KR5C   | 599 | TX | GEORGE | 5W    |
| 0227 | KK5LD  | 559 | TX | DAN    | 5W    |
| 0228 | VA3JFF | 559 | ON | JEFF   | 2W    |
| 0229 | K8MIA  | 559 | WV | JIM    | 5W    |
| 0230 | W5TB   | 559 | TX | DOC    | 5W    |
| 0231 | N1FN   | 559 | CO | ET     | 5W    |
| 0231 | K8CV   | 559 | MI | WALT   | 5W    |
| 0232 | N9JF/M | 599 | IL | JIM    | 5W    |
| 0233 | W5YR   | 559 | TX | GEORGE | 5W    |
| 0234 | W0UFO  | 559 | MN | MERT   | 5W    |
| 0234 | W2XN   | 559 | FL | FRED   | 5W    |
| 0235 | KC1FB  | 559 | CT | JIM    | 5W    |
| 0236 | N2WW   | 559 | CO | LARRY  | 5W    |
| 0236 | KD5KXF | 559 | TX | MIKE   | 5W    |
| 0237 | W0CH   | 599 | MO | DAVE   | 900MW |
| 0238 | K5EOA  | 559 | LA | WAYNE  | 5W    |
| 0239 | NK9G   | 559 | WI | RICK   | 5W    |
| 0240 | W0BPA  | 599 | MO | DICK   | 5W    |
| 0241 | W8SFF  | 559 | MI | STEVE  | 5W    |
| 0241 | AA50   | 559 | LA | VERN   | 5W    |
| 0242 | K4TJD  | 599 | GA | TOM    | 5W    |
| 0243 | NM5M   | 559 | TX | ERIC   | 4W    |
| 0244 | N9AW   | 559 | WI | JERRY  | 5W    |
| 0245 | N5IB   | 559 | LA | JIM    | 5W    |

|      |        |     |    |        |       |
|------|--------|-----|----|--------|-------|
| 0245 | AC5JH  | 559 | OK | TOM    | 5W    |
| 0246 | WA8ZBT | 559 | TX | DENNY  | 5W    |
| 0247 | WB6BWZ | 579 | GA | MATT   | 5W    |
| 0248 | N3BJ   | 559 | NC | ALAN   | 5W    |
| 0249 | W7ILW  | 559 | AZ | WALT   | 5W    |
| 0250 | KJ0C   | 559 | MO | JIM    | 5W    |
| 0251 | NU8S   | 559 | OH | DENNIS | 5W    |
| 0252 | N0HRL  | 559 | MN | KEN    | 5W    |
| 0252 | K2PQ   | 559 | NJ | FRANK  | 5W    |
| 0253 | KI0II  | 579 | CO | RON    | 1W    |
| 0254 | AF4PS  | 559 | FL | MAC    | 3W    |
| 0255 | VE4WI  | 559 | MB | CRAIG  | 5W    |
| 0256 | KB0LUR | 599 | CO | PAUL   | 5W    |
| 0256 | KG4LDY | 559 | VA | JIM    | 5W    |
| 0257 | K0FRP  | 579 | CO | AL     | 5W    |
| 0258 | WU9F   | 559 | WI | TERRY  | 5W    |
| 0258 | W5USJ  | 559 | TX | CHUCK  | 5W    |
| 0259 | K5DI   | 559 | NM | KARL   | 5W    |
| 0300 | VE6EX  | 559 | AB | DAN    | 5W    |
| 0302 | AA7XA  | 559 | OR | FRANK  | 5W    |
| 0302 | KS4L   | 599 | AL | RANDY  | 5W    |
| 0303 | K8KFJ  | 559 | WV | GARY   | 5W    |
| 0304 | KV2X   | 559 | NY | TOM    | 5W    |
| 0304 | AF4AT  | 549 | NC | JIM    | 5W    |
| 0305 | KQ5U   | 599 | TX | TERRY  | 5W    |
| 0306 | N6WG   | 559 | CA | BOB    | 5W    |
| 0307 | K5DW   | 559 | TX | DON    | 5W    |
| 0307 | N5ZE   | 559 | TX | LEW    | 5W    |
| 0308 | KK5NA  | 559 | TX | JOE    | 5W    |
| 0309 | VE6JAZ | 579 | AB | ROB    | 5W    |
| 0311 | AG0T   | 599 | ND | TODD   | 350mW |
| 0312 | K6VNX  | 559 | CA | ARLEN  | 5W    |
| 0313 | N0RC   | 559 | CO | ROD    | 5W    |
| 0314 | KG4CHX | 599 | NC | TIM    | 5W    |
| 0314 | N0TU   | 559 | CO | STEVE  | 500MW |
| 0315 | K5ZTY  | 559 | TX | BILL   | 5W    |
| 0316 | K5JHP  | 559 | TX | BILL   | 5W    |
| 0316 | AB0CD  | 599 | CO | DICK   | 5W    |
| 0317 | K7TQ   | 559 | ID | RANDY  | 5W    |
| 0318 | N5GJQ  | 589 | LA | MIKE   | 5W    |
| 0319 | VE6KG  | 559 | AB | NORM   | 5W    |
| 0320 | WE6W   | 559 | CA | ED     | 5W    |
| 0321 | N9IK   | 539 | IL | THOR   | 500MW |
| 0322 | AD6JV  | 559 | CA | BILL   | 5W    |
| 0322 | WR50   | 559 | TX | DAVE   | 5W    |
| 0323 | VE5RC  | 559 | SK | BRUCE  | 5W    |
| 0324 | KE6RS  | 559 | CA | RON    | 5W    |
| 0325 | KB7WW  | 559 | OR | ART    | 5W    |

|      |        |     |    |         |    |
|------|--------|-----|----|---------|----|
| 0328 | W4NJK  | 559 | CA | CHARLIE | 5W |
| 0330 | K4ADI  | 559 | SC | FRANK   | 5W |
| 0331 | WB8WTU | 559 | OH | DENNIS  | 2W |
| 0334 | K4JPN  | 579 | GA | STEVE   | 4W |
| 0335 | KA8MAV | 559 | IN | BRICE   | 5W |
| 0337 | KC0ATC | 449 | CO | CHRIS   | 3W |
| 0339 | NK6A   | 559 | CA | DON     | 5W |
| 0341 | N5YFC  | 559 | LA | WAYNE   | 5W |
| 0343 | K1KID  | 589 | MA | CARL    | 5W |
| 0348 | K5SR   | 559 | TX | DALE    | 5W |
| 0350 | VA6RF  | 559 | AB | EARL    | 5W |
| 0352 | N0DSP  | 559 | CO | TOM     | 5W |
| 0355 | NN5E   | 559 | TX | VERN    | 4W |
| 0355 | W0JOE  | 559 | MO | JOE     | 5W |
| 0356 | N0EHW  | 599 | MO | TIM     | 5W |
| 0400 | N4DD   |     |    | FOX     |    |
| 0400 | W8RU   |     |    | FOX     |    |

-----

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 10:50:15 -0800  
 From: "Dave Benson" <nn1g@earthlink.net>  
 To: "Bruce Muscolino" <w6toy@erols.com>,  
 "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
 Subject: [119740] Re: FT-817  
 Message-ID: <005801c1b3f6\$1d1310c0\$81e6d73f@pavilion>  
 MIME-Version: 1.0  
 Content-Type: text/plain;  
 charset="iso-8859-1"  
 Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Bruce-

Someone (another FT-817 owner) suggested he try resetting the rig and provided a reference to finding that operation in the manual. I'm guessing that was probably just the advice he needed.

I don't understand how your post contributes anything to the thread. You recently posted about "interventionist" tendencies on this reflector, and indeed you invoked that argument vis-a-vis 'keying' a few minutes ago .

I observe that you apparently have no difficulty, though, chiding those who don't measure up to your standards. Here's my question- how does your post (below) serve any positive purpose when aired in a public arena?

May I humbly suggest that you draw more than your share of fire for a very good reason? You've provided us with copious advice as to how to treat others on this reflector and yet you're at the head of the line

when it comes to disregarding that same guidance. If you're unclear as to what I mean, we could go back in the archives and trot out some flagrant examples but it wouldn't benefit anyone.

We see occasional pleas from others on this reflector about "thinking before you post". It's good advice- and always will be.

73- Dave Benson, K1SWL

-----Original Message-----

From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>

To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Date: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 4:22 AM

Subject: Re: FT-817

Rich,

>

> Hey I have been hitting too many buttons. Only one of my "mode" buttons  
> works, did I turn something off?

>

Duh, how are we supposed to know? You never said what it is doing or not doing!

73

-----

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 11:23:39 -0500  
From: "Joe W2KJ" <w2kj@earthlink.net>  
To: "qrp-l" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119741] Use of the words "another falsehood"  
Message-ID: <007801c1b3e1\$a29d0ee0\$4153fc9e@cdcvh01>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Howdy Gang:

I ain't gonna point fingers but I have to seriously disagree with using the words "another falsehood" when describing the comments of another QRP-l'ers posting.

Such remarks are not needed nor should they be welcomed on the QRP-List. I do believe the word "falsehood" is closely akin to the word "lie" or perhaps I should get another dictionary.

I must admit that although I am a very active QRP'er on the bands I mostly lurk on this list lest someone use less than polite language in responding to one of my postings. I don't need the grief.

Unfortunately, we seem to see almost on a daily basis condescending responses to postings sent either offering or seeking knowledge.

OK, so today I am not lurking...just a bit disappointed and saddened.

Now, in the words of one of our own, back into my hole.

73, Joe W2KJ

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 11:24:56 EST  
From: N2PTW@cs.com  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [119742] Antenna Question Hamstick  
Message-ID: <17.231d9aed.299a9bd8@cs.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Gang;

I have recently put a pair of hamsticks together for twenty meters . I built a homemade bracket and used a 1:1 balun. It works great !!! I am very pleased . Now my Question ...has any body done any research on using 2 more hamsticks and making a mini beam. Tuning the driven element for say 14.100 and the reflector for 14.000. If so...what would be a good distance to space the elements apart. Right now my driven element is set for 14.200 and is has great SWR all over the band. I would like to hear anybody's comments .

Les K4NK                      ARCI 4152

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 10:28:26 -0600  
From: Dave Sjolín <sjolin@swbell.net>  
To: aa8vs@msn.com  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119743] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying - comment  
Message-ID: <3C6942AA.B9A44667@swbell.net>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Charles Mabbott wrote:

>  
> Now I see why they always send 599 regardless just avoid  
> this confusion..... 8^P

Many don't like it when you give a report other than 5NN as it requires going in a changing a field that is automatically filled in by the software. In effect any report other than 5NN slows them down.

That's another reason big time contesters will pull the higher speed ops out of a pileup rather than go to a louder slow op. Those sending at a higher speed are much less likely to give a 579 report and then ask for a repeat on their report.

On Sunday when activity slows, they will gladly work anyone at whatever speed.

73 de Dave, N0IT

-----



Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 11:34:06 -0500  
From: "Dave" <wr3i@earthlink.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>,  
N2PTW@cs.com  
Subject: [119744] Re: Antenna Question Hamstick  
Message-ID: <3C68FDAE.10337.7BF6B9@localhost>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT  
Content-description: Mail message body

Les,

GOOD question, I have a feeling that you have to space  
the elements as if they were full size? However I would be tempted  
myself to space the reflector from the driven about equivalent  
distance as the the length of one side of the hamstick driven? does  
that make sense

Dave  
W1QB

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 11:37:35 -0500  
From: "George Osier" <gosier@twcnny.rr.com>  
To: "QRP-L" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119745] OQ7YX ..... # 93 confirmed !!!  
Message-ID: <004701c1b3e3\$94022080\$0e714342@twcnny.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello All !!!

Got # 93 confirmed yesterday toward my MW DXCC !!!

OQ7YX , Ron with a very nice card celebrating the birth of the new Belgian  
princess !!!

7 MORE TO GO !!!!!

72s

George , N2JNZ / QRPp

-----

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 11:52:54 -0500  
From: "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
To: <N2PTW@cs.com>, <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119746] Re: Antenna Question Hamstick  
Message-ID: <013d01c1b3e5\$b9a58640\$7001a8c0@lwrnc1.in.home.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Here's some useful information I've found:

"A Three - Element Yagi Mini-beam Using Hamsticks"  
by Russ Wilson VE6VK

<http://www.cadvision.com/ve6vk/minibeam/minibeam.html>

73/72/71! de Brice KA8MAV  
★ Tiny-Tornado Kits - Accepting Orders Now ★  
<http://www.QRPp-I.com>

----- Original Message -----

From: <N2PTW@cs.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 11:24 AM  
Subject: Antenna Question Hamstick

> Gang;  
> I have recently put a pair of hamsticks together for twenty meters . I  
> built a homemade bracket and used a 1:1 balun. It works great !!! I am  
very  
> pleased . Now my Question ...has any body done any research on using 2  
more  
> hamsticks and making a mini beam. Tuning the driven element for say 14.100  
> and the reflector for 14.000. If so...what would be a good distance to  
space  
> the elements apart. Right now my driven element is set for 14.200 and is  
has  
> great SWR all over the band. I would like to hear anybodys comments .  
>  
> Les K4NK                      ARCI 4152  
>

-----

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 11:57:20 -0500  
From: Bill Coleman <aa4lr@arrl.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119747] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
Message-ID: <1020112115631.LAA25611@gate.iterated.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

On 2/12/02 8:47 AM, Bruce Muscolino at w6toy@erols.com wrote:

>George,  
>>  
>> Since many CW contest ops \*can\* copy at those speeds,Karl, at least well  
>> enough to extract a serial or zone number, etc., they probably think that  
>> anyone worth working can also.  
>>  
>Oho, another falsehood. In a contest everybody is equally valuable.  
>You may not get a new multiplier, or whatever, but you do get the QSO  
>points!

Yes, and no, Bruce.

The primary thing of value in a contest is TIME. Contests run only in a limited time period. Worse, in some contests, propagation conditions may only exist to certain parts of the world for very short periods of time. Maximizing those times can make the difference between winning and not even placing in the top-ten.

But, on the whole, contestors are interested in working everybody they can. (Depending on the contest -- in certain contests, for example, contacts with your own country don't count)

Keying speed is one thing that allows contesters to control pileups and rate. When lots of stations are calling, higher CW speeds tend to weed the pileup down, and the resulting stations can be worked an an impressive clip. When things slow down, slower CW speeds generally net better rate, since it invites the more casual CW operator.

I really hate the practice of SHIFTING speeds in the middle of an exchange. I find it disturbing, and it usually causes me to miss part of the exchange. The subsequent repeat usually costs more time than is saved by shifting speeds.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL            Mail: aa4lr@arrl.net  
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"  
    -- Wilbur Wright, 1901

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 11:07:27 -0600  
From: "Thor, n9ik" <n9ik@qsl.net>  
To: <w6toy@erols.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119748] RE: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
Message-ID: <NEBBLNLOELOIHIEKHCPAMELCCMAA.n9ik@qsl.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="Windows-1252"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Bruce,

I feel compelled to respond to your comment directed to George, even though it was not directed to me, I am not directly involved in the discussion, and regards the original discussion I have nothing of value to contribute. Even having said that, I need to say this:

My recent foray into the QRP world has taught me one valuable thing - that I don't know as much about amateur radio as I thought I did, and I still have a tremendous amount to learn about this hobby. That is why I am here. Based on the experience that you at least give the impression you have, I believe that you, and many others, have a tremendous amount to teach. There is just one problem, the majority of the time, your postings have statements and language that are unnecessarily condescending, antagonistic, and yes, even at times insulting.

You did once or twice reply to my posting that had what were perhaps stupid questions, and your responses to me were positive, and I thank you for those - I found your comments valuable. However, I am coming to the point where I am beginning to see the need to possibly sacrifice the knowledge that you have to offer, and add a filter to my email software, if you get my drift. So many of your replies to others are so discouraging that they are actually detrimental to the desire to continue reading any of the emails on this list. Like I said, I, along with many others I am sure, are here to learn from the masters. but, as with the "ham police" that I've heard on the SSB portions of the bands interfering with legitimate QSO's and nets such as the Maritime Mobile Service Net on 20 meters, their whose interfering actions have actually driven amateurs with quite a bit to offer away from amateur radio, your constant negativity and condescension make the participation in this email list a chore rather than a pleasure and positive experience.

It is my suggestion to you that should you take a moment to rethink your

initial reactions prior to hitting the "send" button in your email package, and instead find a positive way to make your point you will find quite a bit more respect, and people will take more of the experience that you profess to have more seriously. Keep in mind that the way you make your point will either teach or discourage. I've learned the hard lesson that you can do one or the other - you can teach effectively by using positive methods, or your negative comments, even meant as a "jest", will actually be detrimental, discouraging and an impairment to learning. You can't effectively do both.

If the negative postings were directed exclusively to one or two other participants in this email list, I could write it off to a clash of egos or personalities. Unfortunately that seems to not be the case, although I think that is part of it.

You may be thinking to yourself "why is this posted to the list, why did he not send it to me off line?" I originally intended to keep this note private, but I've come to the conclusion that based on your own posts, having it out in the open and public is the way you prefer things kept.

Actually, in this case, I hope I'm wrong.

- Thor, N9IK

> -----Original Message-----

> From: owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU]On Behalf Of

> Bruce Muscolino

> Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 7:48 AM

> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion

> Subject: Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying

>

>

>

> George,

> >

> > Since many CW contest ops \*can\* copy at those speeds, Karl, at least well  
> > enough to extract a serial or zone number, etc., they probably

> think that

> > anyone worth working can also.

> >

> Oho, another falsehood. In a contest everybody is equally valuable.

> You may not get a new multiplier, or whatever, but you do get the QSO  
> points!

>

> 73

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 12:12:03 -0500  
From: "Brian" <brian@iquest.net>  
To: <gosier@twcnny.rr.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119749] Re: OQ7YX ..... # 93 confirmed !!!  
Message-ID: <005001c1b3e8\$645b3c90\$3d05080a@cincom.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Go George Go!!

----- Original Message -----  
From: "George Osier" <gosier@twcnny.rr.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 11:37 AM  
Subject: OQ7YX ..... # 93 confirmed !!!

> Hello All !!!  
>  
> Got # 93 confirmed yesterday toward my MW DXCC !!!  
>  
> OQ7YX , Ron with a very nice card celebrating the birth of the new Belgian  
> princess !!!  
>  
> 7 MORE TO GO !!!!!  
>  
> 72s  
>  
> George , N2JNZ / QRPP  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 09:08:58 -0800  
From: dave f epps <dave\_epps@juno.com>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [119750] Tip Tinner & Cleaner  
Message-ID: <20020212.092558.-202215.0.dave\_epps@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

R/S 64-020. Custom made in England for radioshack. .5 oz \$5.99.  
Works real well. I had a few soldering iron tips that were soo badly  
oxidized that I couldn't clean them. This cleaned them right up. I don't  
know what it is made of, but "It works good".  
dave ab5pc fresno, ca

-----  
GET INTERNET ACCESS FROM JUNO!

Juno offers FREE or PREMIUM Internet access for less!  
Join Juno today! For your FREE software, visit:  
<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/web/>.

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 12:21:40 -0500  
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: "Paul Harden, NA5N" <na5n@rt66.com>, qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [119751] Re: FT-817  
Message-ID: <3C694F24.D4895550@erols.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Once again you make your comments in PUBLIC. That's right I don't have  
an 817, why would I want one? It does not do anything my other radios  
do. I don't take my radios out to play, they are happy here at home.  
IF I asked a stupid question, why did he!

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 10:27:44 -0700  
From: "Bruzenak George" <bruzer1@mindspring.com>  
To: <bdh@cyberbound.net>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119752] Re: Antenna Question Hamstick  
Message-ID: <003701c1b3ea\$9624d540\$e7a3fc9e@bruzenak55>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thanks for the URL Brice. I will be trying a rotatable dipole using the  
Lakeview bracket and a war surplus 33' mast and RG8U. This is for rv-ing.  
Maybe, if that doesn't work, I'll try the Yagi.

George K0CNT

----- Original Message -----

From: "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 9:52 AM

Subject: Re: Antenna Question Hamstick

> Here's some useful information I've found:

>

> "A Three - Element Yagi Mini-beam Using Hamsticks"

> by Russ Wilson VE6VK

>

> <http://www.cadvision.com/ve6vk/minibeam/minibeam.html>

>

> 73/72/71! de Brice KA8MAV

> \* Tiny-Tornado Kits - Accepting Orders Now \*

> <http://www.QRPp-I.com>

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: <N2PTW@cs.com>

> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

> Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 11:24 AM

> Subject: Antenna Question Hamstick

>

>

> > Gang;

> > I have recently put a pair of hamsticks together for twenty meters .  
I

> > built a homemade bracket and used a 1:1 balun. It works great !!! I am  
> very

> > pleased . Now my Question ...has any body done any research on using 2  
> more

> > hamsticks and making a mini beam. Tuning the driven element for say  
14.100

> > and the reflector for 14.000. If so...what would be a good distance to  
> space

> > the elements apart. Right now my driven element is set for 14.200 and is  
> has

> > great SWR all over the band. I would like to hear anybodys comments .

> >

> > Les K4NK ARCI 4152

> >

>

-----



Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 11:27:49 -0600  
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
To: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119753] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
Message-ID: <3C695095.EBF2FEAB@att.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Bruce, before you preach any further about public intervention, re-read the quoted material below and then note that you posted your negation of my comment on the list. You did not advise me privately as so you adamantly require of others.

You made a public posting, I made a public response and now you - again in a public posting - take me to task. If you must find something to gripe about in every posting you make, at least try to use some rationality in what you demand and what you do.

This is the last I will have to say on this or any other topic you bring up. I have tried to treat you as a friend, and I have upheld you when others did not. We have nothing further to say to one another. Sadly, I fear that you are going to find more and more folks taking this position.

There is much that you could add to QRP-L if only you would . . .

72/73/00, George W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas  
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county EM13qe  
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 56th year and it just keeps getting better!  
QRP-L 1373 NETXQRP 6 SOC 262 COG 8 FPQRP 404 TEN-X 11771  
Icom IC-756PRO #02121 Kachina #91900556 IC-765 #02437

All outgoing email virus-checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2002

Bruce Muscolino wrote:

> Now for the bad part, once again you are trying to intervene, and you ar  
> doing it in public. I have said this is the wrong way to intervene with  
> me or anyone else. You have apparently chosen not to read or understand  
> what I said. Suppose you were doing something that made other people  
> mad, would you want to have it brought up at a club meeting in front of  
> a dozen people? Would it be embarrassing to you? Would you be likely

-----

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 12:29:24 -0500  
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: N2PTW@cs.com  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119754] Re: Antenna Question Hamstick  
Message-ID: <3C6950F4.B5CC74C1@erols.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Les,

I have never seen any Hamstick beams written up that I can remember, but there have been a couple of articles on short beam antennas, one using helical elements and one using loaded elements. See Doug DeMaw's article helical elements) in QST, and also look in the older issues of 73 (loaded elements).

73

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 12:40:09 -0500  
From: "Lee Mairs" <lmairs@cox.rr.com>  
To: <w6toy@erols.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119755] Re: FT-817  
Message-ID: <011901c1b3ec\$51759a40\$6501a8c0@cox.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

That's it!  
Filter is now in place. Too bad...  
Lee  
km4yy

It is difficult to produce a television documentary that is both incisive and probing when every twelve minutes one is interrupted by dancing rabbits singing about toilet paper.

--Rod Serling

----- Original Message -----  
From: "Bruce Muscolino" <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 12:21 PM

Subject: Re: FT-817

> Once again you make your comments in PUBLIC. That's right I don't have  
> an 817, why would I want one? It does not do anything my other radios  
> do. I don't take my radios out to play, they are happy here at home.  
> IF I asked a stupid question, why did he!

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 12:37:11 -0500  
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: aa4lr@arrl.net  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119756] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
Message-ID: <3C6952C7.6349147C@erols.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Bill,

Contesting has always been about time. It has not changed since the beginning. Today there is more pressure to make a higher score by more stations, but there were always stations whose scores you could never hope to duplicate.

Some of the increase in scores is related to better bands, some to better equipment, and some to better operators and operating methods. It is interesting to me that we seldom if ever heard speed changes 20 years ago! They seem to be an artifact of multi function keyers or computers! I don't think the actually speed things up though!

73

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 12:55:48 -0500  
From: W2AGN <w2agn@pobox.com>  
To: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>,  
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119757] Suggestion  
Message-ID: <0202121255481A.02380@jsielke>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

On Tuesday 12 February 2002 12:27, George, W5YR wrote:

> Bruce, before you preach any further about public intervention, re-read the  
> quoted material below and then note that you posted your negation of my  
> comment on the list. You did not advise me privately as so you adamantly  
> require of others.

--

How about we lock Bruce and Karl in a room, weapons of choice provided, and  
don't open the door until the noise stops?

-----

John L Sielke    W2AGN  
w2agn@pobox.com

<http://www.qsl.net/w2agn>  
Trustee: W3IYQ

-----

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 12:55:12 -0500  
From: Bill Coleman <aa4lr@arrl.net>  
To: <n1tp@worldnet.att.net>,  
      "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119758] Re: N4DD Preliminary Log Delinquency  
Message-ID: <1020112125424.MAA00798@gate.iterated.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

On 2/11/02 7:57 PM, tom palmer at n1tp@worldnet.att.net wrote:

>The "complaints" regarding preliminary logs  
>are all based on false assumptions.

I wouldn't call them "complaints" -- just a bit of wondering out loud.

>The reason for a preliminary log is not whether the  
>Fox copied the correct information over the air,  
>it's to try to ensure that no Hound loses a well  
>earned pelt because the Fox incorrectly typed the correct  
>call sign in the log.

On further reflection, it's really not possible to do the level of  
checking necessary to verify the log. So, I suppose public inspection is  
sufficient.

I can understand the need to correct typographical errors, but there's another level of errors that's possible. You ever see a situation where the FOX answers station A, but station B thinks the FOX was calling him? Both station A and B go away thinking they worked the FOX. And, what with all the QRM involved in a FOX hunt, who can tell?

If station A and B have similar calls, wouldn't that be cause of a big disappointment later?

Or does this scenario just not happen in the FOX hunt?

>How

>would K5ZTY feel if he lost his pelt simply because  
>the FOX made an error in typing his call sign in the  
>log?

He'd feel awful.

>Hounds should not be penalized by the loss of a pelt  
>because of a typo in the FOX's log.

As a judge, you're certainly entitled to interpret the results as you see fit. I think you've adopted a good rule.

>In my opinion, the fact that a "contester" is allowed  
>to submit his or her log one time is irrelevant. For all I know,  
>contest logs have errors, possibly MANY errors.

Most contestors don't subject their log to public review. In fact, use of non-contest materials to validate or "cleanse" a log is considered cheating by a sizable number of contestors.

And, yes, contest logs have MANY errors. The key challenge for a contest judge is to find the errors. Not all errors can be verified as such.

>The Fox Hunts are an activity in themselves.

And a lot of fun! I enjoyed the 20m hunts this past summer.  
Unfortunately, I've missed most of the 40m hunts.

It also occurs to me that the hunts aren't subject to the same cross-checking that contests are. Since you only get logs from the FOX, there's little to check against.

In that fashion, it doesn't work the same as a contest. Forgive me for thinking that it should follow the same pattern as is common for other contests.

>The issues regarding preliminary logs have been  
>discussed  
>over and over by the QRP-L Committee. I believe  
>the Committee had developed well considered and  
>reasonable rules, including those applicable to logs.

>From the success of the hunts, the committee is, no doubt, doing a great  
job.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL            Mail: aa4lr@arrl.net  
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"  
      -- Wilbur Wright, 1901

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 10:04:19 -0800  
From: Mark Schoonover <schoon@amgt.com>  
To: "'w2agn@pobox.com'" <w2agn@pobox.com>,  
      Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119759] RE: Suggestion  
Message-ID: <BF889CEBEFD2D511B993009027F60ABE1553F4@AG-JASMINE-NT4>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
      charset="iso-8859-1"

Well, that could still leave one of 'em alive!

.mark

-----Original Message-----  
From: W2AGN [mailto:w2agn@pobox.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 9:56 AM  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion  
Subject: Suggestion

On Tuesday 12 February 2002 12:27, George, W5YR wrote:

> Bruce, before you preach any further about public intervention, re-read  
the  
> quoted material below and then note that you posted your negation of my  
> comment on the list. You did not advise me privately as so you adamantly  
> require of others.

--

How about we lock Bruce and Karl in a room, weapons of choice provided, and don't open the door until the noise stops?

-----

John L Sielke W2AGN  
w2agn@pobox.com

<http://www.qsl.net/w2agn>  
Trustee: W3IYQ

-----

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 13:07:20 -0500  
From: "Dave" <wr3i@earthlink.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>,  
Ronald\_A\_Pfeiffer@raytheon.com  
Subject: [119760] Re: New England QRP SSB NET Tuesday at 8:00PM EST 7.295+/-5Khz  
Message-ID: <3C691388.15252.D157D7@localhost>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT  
Content-description: Mail message body

I will be there with Bells on. I have finally got a Motel set up that I am fairly satisfied with ie Ft817,ATX whip with Lufkin tape as counterpoise, seems to work well so tonight I will try and do the rounds

New England SSB Fox Cw and what else is on tonight?

Dave  
W1QB

-----

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 13:09:28 -0500  
From: Jim Stafford <w4qo@amsat.org>  
To: qrp-l list <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Cc: NoGaQRP Club <nogaqrp@mailman.qth.net>  
Subject: [119761] Lost clubs  
Message-ID: <3C695A58.45D08699@amsat.org>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Looking for links (or info) for the following clubs:

BC QRP Club  
QRPacific  
Southern CAL QRP Club (WQ6RP)

Any help appreciated.

Jim/W4QO

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 11:16:49 -0700 (MST)  
From: "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>  
To: <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119762] Koch Learning Tool Works  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0202121106320.2668-1000000@Daisy.dog>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

It's a strange thing that the knowledge of Dr. Koch was for so many years not known in the USA. There have been other methods used here that are similar to some parts of the Koch method, but still very different.

With the G4FON software you must start at 15 WPM where you can't use any bad habits to copy code. You just have to learn "reflexive" methods which put in simple terms means you don't think, you just write it down. We have all met CW operators who can talk with you and also write code on paper or a computer.

The technique is also simple. Get ready with paper and pen, start the software and copy as best you can on paper. Then check what you copied against what the software says it sent. If your copy is 90% right, add another character.

In my class this spring guys will learn code at 15 WPM, and in the last days we will teach them how to copy slow code!

--  
Yours Truly,

- Karl F. Larsen, k5di@arrl.net (505) 524-3303 -  
<http://www.zianet.com/k5di/>



-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 13:14:14 -0500  
From: "Richard Brummer, K2JQ" <k2jq@bestweb.net>  
To: <w6toy@erols.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119763] Re: FT-817  
Message-ID: <017701c1b3f1\$152f5bc0\$0b03b3d8@obvious>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Bruce, if you don't want, or can't stand PUBLIC rebuttal, then don't make PUBLIC insults and snide comments.

Don't you get it ???

Dick K2JQ

-----Original Message-----

From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Date: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 12:27 PM  
Subject: Re: FT-817

>Once again you make your comments in PUBLIC.

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 18:19:16 +0000  
From: Garie Halstead <k8kfj@ntelos.net>  
To: aa4lr@arrl.net  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119764] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
Message-ID: <3C691654.C43D23A1@ntelos.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

On 12 February 2002, Bill Coleman wrote:

> I really hate the practice of SHIFTING speeds in the middle of an  
> exchange. I find it disturbing, and it usually causes me to miss part of  
> the exchange. The subsequent repeat usually costs more time than is saved  
> by shifting speeds

Not to mention it messes with the rhythm you had established. Best to stay in your zone.

Here's a good example of slow vs fast....

I was in the NCJ Sprint this past weekend and Bill (WB 0) was really smokin'. I heard him run at this speed throughout the Sprint. Normally, you'd ask yourself how many more contacts he could have put in his log had he slowed and worked some of the slower ops.

His results?

**\*\*A new North Dakota record for the NCJ CW Sprint\*\* :-)**

73 //Gary \*K8KFJ\*  
West Virginia

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 10:28:51 -0800  
From: Steve Smith <sigcom@juno.com>  
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [119765] Re: TiCK Chip for Wireless paddles  
Message-ID: <20020212.105534.-81575.0.sigcom@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Group,

FWIW, there is also a K10 key chip by K1EL in SMT available:  
<http://members.aol.com/k1el/>  
Article in Oct. 2001 QST. I like the K10 because it has the option of using an analog pot for the speed control which I prefer.

73.....Steve 'The Scrounger' Smith  
WB6TNL Oxnard, CA USA  
"Snort Rosin"

On Mon, 11 Feb 2002 15:22:08 -0500 KKANALZ@prodigy.net writes:  
> If you're going to design really small boards for a  
> wireless keyer system and plan to use a TiCK chip, be  
> aware that there *\*is\** indeed a TiCK chip in surface  
> mount format!

-----  
GET INTERNET ACCESS FROM JUNO!

Juno offers FREE or PREMIUM Internet access for less!  
Join Juno today! For your FREE software, visit:  
<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/web/>.

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 11:49:25 -0700  
From: William R Colbert <w5xe@juno.com>  
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [119766] Re: FT-817  
Message-ID: <20020212.121041.-314101.6.w5xe@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thanks you Dave.

73  
Ray

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 14:09:58 -0500  
From: "Dennis Brickey" <n4dd@preferred.com>  
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119767] FOX: N4DD Final Fox Log  
Message-ID: <000501c1b3f8\$ddcac7c0\$84f3e4ce@computer>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

This is the final log. Thanks to all for the fine 40 meter Fox Hunts this winter!  
I have thoroughly enjoyed participation!! Good luck and best wishes to all of  
you. Let's hope that Amateur radio continues to grow and prosper in fun and camaraderie.

73/72

Dennis Brickey N4DD

02:00 N1TP 559 FL TOM 5W  
02:01 K4NK 599 SC LES 4W  
02:02 K8DD 579 MI HANK 5W  
02:03 AE4Y 599 GA KENT 5W  
02:04 K4GT 559 GA JIM 5W

02:05 N4TN 599 TN DON 5W  
02:06 NV4V 559 KY PETE 5W  
02:07 K3IU 559 RI KEN 5W  
02:08 AF4PS 579 FL MAC 3W  
02:09 K9DC 559 IN DAVE 5W  
02:09 K4BYF 559 FL JACK 5W  
02:10 N1FN 559 CO ET 5W  
02:11 W0RSP 579 SD ADE 4W  
02:14 W5TB 559 TX DOC 5W  
02:14 WE9K 599 WI GLENN 5W  
02:14 K0EVZ 599 ND DOC 5W  
02:15 KR5C 599 TX GEORGE 5W  
02:15 K3PH 559 PA BOB 5W  
02:17 VA3JFF 559 ON JEFF 2W  
02:17 K4FB 579 FL PAUL 5W  
02:18 K8MIA 559 WV JIM 5W  
02:19 W0UFO 559 MN MERT 5W  
02:20 WV9N 559 OH RANDY 5W  
02:20 N4ROA 559 VA DAN 5W  
02:21 W8KC 559 MI PAUL 5W  
02:21 AF4LQ 559 KY MIKE 5W  
02:22 N0UR 579 MN JIM 5W  
02:23 W9HL 559 IL RANDY 5W  
02:24 W0CH 559 MO DAVE 900 mw  
02:25 KK5LD 559 TX DAN 5W  
02:26 W8SFF 559 MI STEVE 5W  
02:27 N8VAR 559 OH RON 5W  
02:27 K4JPN 579 GA STEVE 4W  
02:28 W0PWE 579 IA JERRY 5W  
02:29 W5YR 559 TX GEORGE 5W  
02:30 K8CV 559 MI WALT 5W  
02:30 W9XU 559 WI LON 5W  
02:31 WA9TZE 559 WI JIM 5W  
02:31 K4TJD 599 GA TOM 5W  
02:33 WA8ZBT 559 TX DENNIS 5W  
02:33 N2WW 559 CO LARRY 5W  
02:34 K8KFJ 599 WV GARY 5W  
02:35 AC5JH 559 OK TOM 5W  
02:36 NK9G 559 WI RICK 5W  
02:36 AA5O 559 LA VERN 5W  
02:37 N4EO 599 TN JERRY 5W  
02:38 N3BJ 559 NC ALAN 5W  
02:39 KK5NA 559 TX JOE 5W  
02:40 N9AW 559 WI JERRY 5W  
02:40 AJ4AY 579 AL JAY 5W  
02:41 WB5QYT 559 NM TOM 5W  
02:42 N4MAP 559 GA SAM 5W  
02:43 K5LN 599 TX BILL 5W

02:44 KS4V 559 KY BRUCE 5W  
02:44 N0RC 559 CO ROD 5W  
02:45 K5EOA 559 LA WAYNE 5W  
02:45 KJ0C 559 MO JIM 5W  
02:46 N3ZPQ 559 OH FRANK 5W  
02:47 KG4LDY 559 VA JIM 5W  
02:47 N0AR 559 MN SCOTT 5W  
02:48 K50I 559 OK TIM 5W  
02:49 N9NE 599 WI TODD 25 mw  
02:49 N5ZE 559 TX LEW 5W  
02:50 KI0II 559 CO RON 1W  
02:51 WA8BXN 559 OH MIKE 5W  
02:51 W5USJ 559 TX CHUCK 5W  
02:52 WU9F 559 WI TERRY 5W  
02:53 K5DW 559 TX DON 5W  
02:54 N9IJ 589 IL LEN 5W  
02:55 K0FPR 579 CO AL 5W  
02:56 N3GOV 559 MD STEVE 5W  
02:57 KA8MAV 559 IN BRICE 5W  
02:58 K5DI 559 NM KARL 5W  
03:00 KQ5U 599 TX TERRY 5W  
03:01 KG4CHX 559 NC TIM 5W  
03:03 K2PQ 559 NJ FRANK 5W  
03:03 N0HRL 559 MN KEN 5W  
03:04 K9IUA 559 IL KEVIN 5W  
03:05 W2XN 559 FL FRED 5W  
03:06 NU8S 559 OH DENNIS 5W  
03:07 N5IB 559 LA JIM 5W  
03:08 VE6EX 559 AB DAN 5W  
03:09 K5JHP 559 TX BILL 5W  
03:09 KC1FB 559 CT JIM 5W  
03:11 NM5M 559 TX ERIC 5W  
03:12 N0TU 559 CO STEVE 750 mw  
03:13 VE4WI 559 MB CRAIG 5W  
03:14 K5ZTY 559 TX BILL 5W  
03:16 KB7WW 559 OR ART 5W  
03:17 N5GJQ 559 LA MIKE 5W  
03:18 KB0LUR 579 CO PAUL 5W  
03:19 W7ILM 559 AZ WALT 5W  
03:21 VE6JAZ 559 AB ROB 5W  
03:22 AF4AT 549 NC JIM 5W  
03:24 VE6KG 559 AB NORM 5W  
03:25 K4ADI 559 SC FRANK 5W  
03:26 KD5KXF 559 TX MIKE 5W  
03:28 WR50 559 TX DAVE 5W  
03:29 N5YFC 559 LA WAYNE 5W  
03:31 K1KID 449 MA CARL 5W  
03:31 AB0CD 599 CO DICK 5W

03:32 KC0ATC 549 CO CHRIS 3W  
03:33 WB6BWZ 569 GA MATT 5W  
03:34 AG0T 559 ND TODD 4W  
03:36 KV2X 559 NY TOM 5W  
03:36 K7TQ 559 ID RANDY 5W  
03:37 VE5RC 559 SK BRUCE 5W  
03:38 WB8WTU 559 OH DENNIS 3W  
03:39 N9IK 539 IL THOR 500 mw  
03:40 AA7XA 559 OR FRANK 5W  
03:41 K6VNX 559 CA ARLEN 5W  
03:44 N6WG 559 CA BOB 5W  
03:44 N0DSP 559 CO TOM 5W  
03:45 NK6A 559 CA DON 5W  
03:47 VA6RF 559 AB EARL 5W  
03:49 KG6CYN 559 CA TREV 5W  
03:51 VE6EX 559 AB DAN 5W  
03:54 W0JOE 599 MO JOE 5W  
03:54 N0EHW 559 MO TIM 5W  
03:57 KE6RS 559 CA RON 5W  
03:58 N4SO 599 AL KEN 5W  
03:59 W9HLA 559 FL JOE 5W  
04:00 W8RU FOX  
04:00 N4DD FOX

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 14:13:24 -0500  
From: Steven Weber <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [119768] Re: MV109 Varactors  
Message-ID: <3.0.6.32.20020212141324.0079a910@mailhost.ncia.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

>On Mon, 11 Feb 2002, Lee Mairs wrote:

>

>> I want to add the RIT circuit to my 2N2-20, but can't find any place that  
>> has MV109 varactors.

Why not just use a 1N4001 or 1N4004? One of those will work just fine for

the limited range you need to tune for RIT. (couple it to the VFO with a small, 10-22p NPO cap)

72,  
Steve, KD1JV  
"Melt Solder"  
White Mountains of New Hampshire  
<http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 14:23:12 -0500  
From: "Dave" <wr3i@earthlink.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>, n4dd@preferred.com  
Subject: [119769] Re: FOX: N4DD Final Fox Log  
Message-ID: <3C692550.543.116CD91@localhost>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT  
Content-description: Mail message body

Do you mean no more fox hunts on 40??? I want at least to make one contact  
Dave

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 19:26:06 +0000  
From: "Leon Heller" <leon\_heller@hotmail.com>  
To: dave\_epps@juno.com, qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [119770] Re: Tip Tinner & Cleaner  
Message-ID: <F187XsL1c00goZolmRB000186d7@hotmail.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

>From: dave f epps <dave\_epps@juno.com>  
>Reply-To: dave\_epps@juno.com  
>To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
>Subject: Tip Tinner & Cleaner  
>Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 09:08:58 -0800  
>  
>R/S 64-020. Custom made in England for radioshack. .5 oz \$5.99.  
>Works real well. I had a few soldering iron tips that were soo badly  
>oxidized that I couldn't clean them. This cleaned them right up. I don't

>know what it is made of, but "It works good".

Is that a sort of hard solder paste in a little round tin? If so, it's made by Multicore, who make most of the solder used in the UK.

73, Leon

--

Leon Heller, G1HSM Tel: +44 1327 359058 Email:leon\_heller@hotmail.com

My web page: [http://www.geocities.com/leon\\_heller](http://www.geocities.com/leon_heller)

My low-cost Altera Flex design kit: <http://www.leonheller.com>

-----  
Join the world s largest e-mail service with MSN Hotmail.  
<http://www.hotmail.com>

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 15:05:40 -0500  
From: "Dennis Brickey" <n4dd@preferred.com>  
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119771] FOX: FINAL Final final Log  
Message-ID: <005d01c1b400\$a6231040\$84f3e4ce@computer>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I forgot to save a removed dupe and a corrected Typo.....More fuel for the fire!!!

Dennis/N4DD

02:00 N1TP 559 FL TOM 5W  
02:01 K4NK 599 SC LES 4W  
02:02       K8DD 579 MI HANK 5W  
02:03 AE4Y 599 GA KENT 5W  
02:04 K4GT 559 GA JIM 5W  
02:05 N4TN 599 TN DON 5W  
02:06 NV4V 559 KY PETE 5W  
02:07 K3IU 559 RI KEN 5W  
02:08 AF4PS 579 FL MAC 3W  
02:09 K9DC 559 IN DAVE 5W  
02:09 K4BYF 559 FL JACK 5W  
02:10 N1FN 559 CO ET 5W



02:11 W0RSP 579 SD ADE 4W  
02:14 W5TB 559 TX DOC 5W  
02:14 WE9K 599 WI GLENN 5W  
02:14 K0EVZ 599 ND DOC 5W  
02:15 KR5C 599 TX GEORGE 5W  
02:15 K3PH 559 PA BOB 5W  
02:17 VA3JFF 559 ON JEFF 2W  
02:17 K4FB 579 FL PAUL 5W  
02:18 K8MIA 559 WV JIM 5W  
02:19 W0UFO 559 MN MERT 5W  
02:20 WV9N 559 OH RANDY 5W  
02:20 N4ROA 559 VA DAN 5W  
02:21 W8KC 559 MI PAUL 5W  
02:21 AF4LQ 559 KY MIKE 5W  
02:22 N0UR 579 MN JIM 5W  
02:23 W9HL 559 IL RANDY 5W  
02:24 W0CH 559 MO DAVE 900 mw  
02:25 KK5LD 559 TX DAN 5W  
02:26 W8SFF 559 MI STEVE 5W  
02:27 N8VAR 559 OH RON 5W  
02:27 K4JPN 579 GA STEVE 4W  
02:28 W0PWE 579 IA JERRY 5W  
02:29 W5YR 559 TX GEORGE 5W  
02:30 K8CV 559 MI WALT 5W  
02:30 W9XU 559 WI LON 5W  
02:31 WA9TZE 559 WI JIM 5W  
02:31 K4TJD 599 GA TOM 5W  
02:33 WA8ZBT 559 TX DENNIS 5W  
02:33 N2WW 559 CO LARRY 5W  
02:34 K8KFJ 599 WV GARY 5W  
02:35 AC5JH 559 OK TOM 5W  
02:36 NK9G 559 WI RICK 5W  
02:36 AA50 559 LA VERN 5W  
02:37 N4EO 599 TN JERRY 5W  
02:38 N3BJ 559 NC ALAN 5W  
02:39 KK5NA 559 TX JOE 5W  
02:40 N9AW 559 WI JERRY 5W  
02:40 AJ4AY 579 AL JAY 5W  
02:41 WB5QYT 559 NM TOM 5W  
02:42 N4MAP 559 GA SAM 5W  
02:43 K5LN 599 TX BILL 5W  
02:44 KS4V 559 KY BRUCE 5W  
02:44 N0RC 559 CO ROD 5W  
02:45 K5EOA 559 LA WAYNE 5W  
02:45 KJ0C 559 MO JIM 5W  
02:46 N3ZPQ 559 OH FRANK 5W  
02:47 KG4LDY 559 VA JIM 5W  
02:47 N0AR 559 MN SCOTT 5W

02:48 K50I 559 OK TIM 5W  
02:49 N9NE 599 WI TODD 25 mw  
02:49 N5ZE 559 TX LEW 5W  
02:50 KI0II 559 CO RON 1W  
02:51 WA8BXN 559 OH MIKE 5W  
02:51 W5USJ 559 TX CHUCK 5W  
02:52 WU9F 559 WI TERRY 5W  
02:53 K5DW 559 TX DON 5W  
02:54 N9IJ 589 IL LEN 5W  
02:55 K0FPR 579 CO AL 5W  
02:56 N3GOV 559 MD STEVE 5W  
02:57 KA8MAV 559 IN BRICE 5W  
02:58 K5DI 559 NM KARL 5W  
03:00 KQ5U 599 TX TERRY 5W  
03:01 KG4CHX 559 NC TIM 5W  
03:03 K2PQ 559 NJ FRANK 5W  
03:03 N0HRL 559 MN KEN 5W  
03:04 K9IUA 559 IL KEVIN 5W  
03:05 W2XN 559 FL FRED 5W  
03:06 NU8S 559 OH DENNIS 5W  
03:07 N5IB 559 LA JIM 5W  
03:08 VE6EX 559 AB DAN 5W  
03:09 K5JHP 559 TX BILL 5W  
03:09 KC1FB 559 CT JIM 5W  
03:11 NM5M 559 TX ERIC 5W  
03:12 N0TU 559 CO STEVE 750 mw  
03:13 VE4WI 559 MB CRAIG 5W  
03:14 K5ZTY 559 TX BILL 5W  
03:16 KB7WW 559 OR ART 5W  
03:17 N5GJQ 559 LA MIKE 5W  
03:18 KB0LUR 579 CO PAUL 5W  
03:19 W7ILW 559 AZ WALT 5W  
03:21 VE6JAZ 559 AB ROB 5W  
03:22 AF4AT 549 NC JIM 5W  
03:24 VE6KG 559 AB NORM 5W  
03:25 K4ADI 559 SC FRANK 5W  
03:26 KD5KXF 559 TX MIKE 5W  
03:28 WR50 559 TX DAVE 5W  
03:29 N5YFC 559 LA WAYNE 5W  
03:31 K1KID 449 MA CARL 5W  
03:31 AB0CD 599 CO DICK 5W  
03:32 KC0ATC 549 CO CHRIS 3W  
03:33 WB6BWZ 569 GA MATT 5W  
03:34 AG0T 559 ND TODD 4W  
03:36 KV2X 559 NY TOM 5W  
03:36 K7TQ 559 ID RANDY 5W  
03:37 VE5RC 559 SK BRUCE 5W  
03:38 WB8WTU 559 OH DENNIS 3W

03:39 N9IK 539 IL THOR 500 mw  
03:40 AA7XA 559 OR FRANK 5W  
03:41 K6VNX 559 CA ARLEN 5W  
03:44 N6WG 559 CA BOB 5W  
03:44 N0DSP 559 CO TOM 5W  
03:45 NK6A 559 CA DON 5W  
03:47 VA6RF 559 AB EARL 5W  
03:49 KG6CYN 559 CA TREV 5W  
03:51 VE6EX 559 AB DAN 5W  
03:54 W0JOE 599 MO JOE 5W  
03:54 N0EHW 559 MO TIM 5W  
03:57 KE6RS 559 CA RON 5W  
03:58 N4SO 599 AL KEN 5W  
03:59 W9HLA 559 FL JOE 5W  
04:00 W8RU FOX  
04:00 N4DD FOX

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 19:54:39 -0000  
From: "Tony Fishpool" <tony@g4wif.fsnet.co.uk>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119772] Re: Tip Tinner & Cleaner  
Message-ID: <004d01c1b402\$1b3b7420\$2d1d86d9@duroon>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Yes Leon is right - this stuff is marvellous.  
You can get it from Farnell Electronics (for our UK readers).

Kind regards  
Tony - G4WIF

----- Original Message -----  
From: "Leon Heller" <leon\_heller@hotmail.com>

> Is that a sort of hard solder paste in a

> little round tin? If so, it's made  
> by Multicore, who make most of the  
> solder used in the UK.  
>  
> 73, Leon

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 15:35:07 -0500  
From: fcsww@juno.com  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [119773] FS Hand Key . . .  
Message-ID: <20020212.153510.-3557895.2.fcsww@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

. . . a desk mount straight key manufactured by J.H. Bunnel, Inc. (ser  
no. E272)  
It is very like a "J38" except has a solid brass frame and, permanently  
attached,  
are two, 3" x 1/4" threaded studs with wing nuts for permanent mounting.  
It is in  
very good condition with slight blemishes on the armature. A jpg image is  
available  
on request. \$40.  
Dick, W2SCF  
fcsww@juno.com

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 15:42:01 -0500  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119774] Re: FT-817 (actually about the 817!)  
Message-ID: <00a301c1b405\$ba4e14c0\$0600a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Isn't the 817 one of those kinds of radios where the keys are 'definable'  
and actually could get the definition 'lost'?

Mike

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 14:41:01 -0600  
From: "tmyers" <tmyers@AcademicPlanet.com>  
To: "QRP-L Post" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119775] Enough BM  
Message-ID: <00b701c1b405\$97267280\$0100a8c0@newkid>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

10% of my last mail download was from one source.

Just installed a BM filter. No W6TOY, BM, here anymore.

KQ5U, Terry  
Spring, Texas

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 13:11:47 -0500  
From: "Tracy Markham" <tracy@bytemark.com>  
To: "QRP-L" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119776] OT:surplus - T106-2 / 33t #16  
Message-ID: <NFBBKLDHALEHCJMAJPKFMEALCLAA.tracy@bytemark.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have several hundred of these cores, wound, with a light varnish (turns  
can be removed easily as the varnish is not thick). Leads are tinned.

Booger backed out on the order :(

I will entertain reasonable offers.

A photo can be seen at  
<http://www.bytemark.com/images/t106-2-33-16.jpg>

Thanks!  
Tracy Markham  
CWS ByteMark

tracy@bytemark.com

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 21:49:28 +0000  
From: Larry Cahoon <lejek@erols.com>  
To: aa4lr@arrl.net,  
    "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119777] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
Message-ID: <5.1.0.14.0.20020212212802.00b949c8@pop.erols.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

>  
>The primary thing of value in a contest is TIME. Contests run only in a  
>limited time period. Worse, in some contests, propagation conditions may

Time is the most important thing and that is why I have a problem with some of the log checking and the way the penalties are computed. They like to pretend that accuracy is important, but the way things are handled doesn't promote this as well as it could. Sure, if I get the call or the exchange wrong I loose points. But there is no incentive for the other guy to make sure I get it right. In fact because he loses TIME there is every reason not to.

To make this obvious, my call is WD3P. I get back WB3P, WD2P, WD4P, and WD3G all the time. Now say the the other fellow is running the frequency and I am playing hunt and pounce. He sends WD3G. I know he got it wrong. If I take the TIME to correct him it cost me time. I get nothing in return. If we both send in our logs the checkers will recognize that he got my call wrong. I will get credit for the QS0. After all I got his information correct. He losses the QS0 because he did not get my call correct. Of course if the error he makes looks too far away from my call then I may lose the QS0 as well.

Now reverse the roles. The big gun comes along while I'm running and he is playing hunt and pounce. Except I'm running 20 wpm and he comes along at 30 wpm. He knows I copied his call wrong. There is again no incentive for him to correct the error. There is not an incentive for him to slow down to my speed.

I would much rather see a rule that said unless both parties correctly copy the full exchange than neither gets credit for the QS0. That gives both parties an incentive to get the exchange right and to ensure that the other fellow gets it right as well. I know guys will say why should I lose

because he cannot copy my call correctly? The answer is simple. The goals of most contests are stated in one way or another "to correctly exchange the contest information." That goal has not been met if either party gets the information wrong.

73 de Larry.....WD3P  
<http://www.qsl.net/wd3p/>

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 17:20:59 -0500  
From: Pete Burbank <plburbank@kih.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119778] Re: Enough BM  
Message-ID: <5.0.2.1.0.20020212171442.01d69a20@KIH.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 02:41 PM 2/12/2002 -0600, tmyers wrote:  
>10% of my last mail download was from one source.  
>  
>Just installed a BM filter. No W6TOY, BM, here anymore.  
>  
>KQ5U, Terry  
>Spring, Texas

Gosh, I thought BM was a (polite) medical term used by nurses. :-)  
Pete NV4V

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 17:48:00 +0000  
From: ve3ab@mail.mondenet.com  
To: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119779] Re: Homebrew PTO using a Glue Stick!!  
Message-ID: <200202122255.g1CMtXR14298@genesis.dmz.mondenet.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Sounds very interesting Bruce. My Ten Tec Argonaut has a PTO and seems to be fairly stable. I have a bag full of 43 material beads that are about 3/8 inch or so dia. that might be useful. Ill keep your email on file..in case i decide to build a PTO..I was mainly using it for antenna tuning coil..perhaps for a remote tuning

of a verical or something..anyways..tnx for sharing the ideas. 73  
Earl VE3AB

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 17:48:00 +0000  
From: ve3ab@mail.mondenet.com  
To: Qrp-1@Lehigh.edu  
Subject: [119780] Wanted to buy..QRP Wattmeter..ie accurate to 100mw  
Message-ID: <200202122255.g1CMtZR14304@genesis.dmz.mondenet.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

I would like to buy an accurate wattmeter..If I dont get one second hand..im going to buy the kit at Oak Hills Research. It should be accurate at low power levels for some serious qrp levels..ie below 100 mw for times when i try and push the envelope.  
I could either buy outright or swap something..ie I ahve an MFJ versa tuner II with a micky mouse wattmeter in it in nice shape w/manual.  
or i have lots of little components for building stuff incl a qrp transiever kit using 10 mhz xtals..was in a qrp mag qrp arc.73 EaRL  
VE3AB

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 17:37:40 -0500  
From: W2AGN <w2agn@pobox.com>  
To: Pete Burbank <plburbank@kih.net>,  
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119781] Re: Enough BM  
Message-ID: <0202121737401N.02380@jsielke>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

On Tuesday 12 February 2002 17:20, Pete Burbank wrote:  
> At 02:41 PM 2/12/2002 -0600, tmyers wrote:  
> >10% of my last mail download was from one source.  
> >  
> >Just installed a BM filter. No W6TOY, BM, here anymore.  
> >  
> >KQ5U, Terry  
> >Spring, Texas  
>  
> Gosh, I thought BM was a (polite) medical term used by nurses. :-)  
> Pete NV4V



--

Same thing....

-----  
John L Sielke W2AGN  
w2agn@pobox.com

<http://www.qsl.net/w2agn>  
Trustee: W3IYQ

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 18:08:25 -0500  
From: "w8diz" <w8diz@fpqrp.com>  
To: <ve3ab@mail.mondenet.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119782] Re: Wanted to buy..QRP Wattmeter..ie accurate to 100mw  
Message-ID: <000801c1b41a\$2d25dcd0\$39d81b41@cinci.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Earl,

The OakHills WattMeter is great. Great for doing SWR and power.

Another option is Steve Weber's digital wattmeter, which only does power,  
but I believe it to be a bit more accurate, down to < 10 mW.  
Check him out at <http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>

I own both and find a place for both.

72 & "oo's" - Dieter (DIZ) Gentzow - W8DIZ - Loveland, Ohio  
Clermont County - EM79uf - near Cincinnati; 39.218N - 84.305W  
SOC-8 DLQRPAG-1454 ARCI-10226 ARS-781 QRPL-1998 10X-9389 CATT-26  
FP#-1 <http://home.cinci.rr.com/w8diz> & <http://kitsandparts.com>

----- Original Message -----

From: <ve3ab@mail.mondenet.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 12:48 PM  
Subject: Wanted to buy..QRP Wattmeter..ie accurate to 100mw

I would like to buy an accurate wattmeter..If I dont get one second  
hand..im going to buy the kit at Oak Hills Research. It should be

accurate at low power levels for some serious qrp levels..ie below 100 mw for times when i try and push the envelope.  
I could either buy outright or swap something..ie I ahve an MFJ versa tuner II with a micky mouse wattmeter in it in nice shape w/manual.  
or i have lots of little components for building stuff incl a qrp transiever kit using 10 mhz xtals..was in a qrp mag qrp arci..73 EaRL  
VE3AB

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 18:17:46 -0500  
From: "Lee Mairs" <lmairs@cox.rr.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119783] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
Message-ID: <00df01c1b41b\$7bcf89c0\$6501a8c0@cox.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Larry -  
I think you analysis hits the nail on the head regarding incentives. I used to actively contest in the low power division (<100 watts - this was before I saw the light) and I'd get very frustrated at some of our Big Guns zipping right off and not giving me fills by after getting my call and message correct.  
73 de Lee, km4yy

The illiterate of the future are not those who can not read or write.  
They are those who cannot learn, unlearn, and relearn.  
--Alvin Toffler

----- Original Message -----  
From: "Larry Cahoon" <lejek@erols.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 4:49 PM  
Subject: Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying

>  
> >  
> >The primary thing of value in a contest is TIME. Contests run only in a  
> >limited time period. Worse, in some contests, propagation conditions may  
>  
> Time is the most important thing and that is why I have a problem with

some

> of the log checking and the way the penalties are computed. They like to  
> pretend that accuracy is important, but the way things are handled doesn't  
> promote this as well as it could. Sure, if I get the call or the exchange  
> wrong I loose points. But there is no incentive for the other guy to make  
> sure I get it right. In fact because he loses TIME there is every reason  
> not to.

>

> To make this obvious, my call is WD3P. I get back WB3P, WD2P, WD4P, and  
> WD3G all the time. Now say the the other fellow is running the frequency  
> and I am playing hunt and pounce. He sends WD3G. I know he got it  
> wrong. If I take the TIME to correct him it cost me time. I get nothing  
> in return. If we both send in our logs the checkers will recognize that  
he

> got my call wrong. I will get credit for the QSO. After all I got his  
> information correct. He losses the QSO because he did not get my call  
> correct. Of course if the error he makes looks too far away from my call  
> then I may lose the QSO as well.

>

> Now reverse the roles. The big gun comes along while I'm running and he  
is

> playing hunt and pounce. Except I'm running 20 wpm and he comes along at  
> 30 wpm. He knows I copied his call wrong. There is again no incentive  
for

> him to correct the error. There is not an incentive for him to slow down  
> to my speed.

>

> I would much rather see a rule that said unless both parties correctly  
copy

> the full exchange than neither gets credit for the QSO. That gives both  
> parties an incentive to get the exchange right and to ensure that the  
other

> fellow gets it right as well. I know guys will say why should I lose  
> because he cannot copy my call correctly? The answer is simple. The goals  
> of most contests are stated in one way or another "to correctly exchange  
> the contest information." That goal has not been met if either party gets  
> the information wrong.

>

> 73 de Larry.....WD3P

> <http://www.qsl.net/wd3p/>

>

-----

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 16:07:35 -0700

From: <ac7a@gci-net.com>

To: ve3ab@mail.mondenet.com,

"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119784] Re: Homebrew PTO using a Glue Stick!!  
Message-ID: <web-784448@gci-net.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="ISO-8859-1"; format="flowed"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Earl,

The -43 material is not a good choice for the tuning slug in a PTO. It has a very high permeability ( $\mu = 950$ ) and is best suited for broadband transformer, or choke applications. Using such a material in a high-Q coil application will destroy the "Q" and oscillator performance.

For an HF PTO (VFO), or tuned circuit application, the yellow (-6) or white (-7) corr's are much better choices: moderate permeabilities (8.5 and 9.0, respectively) and acceptable permeability stability with temperature.

Regards, Thomas - AC7A (Tucson)

On Tue, 12 Feb 2002 17:48:00 +0000  
ve3ab@mail.mondenet.com wrote:  
>Sounds very interesting Bruce. My Ten Tec Argonaut has a  
>PTO and  
>seems to be fairly stable. I have a bag full of 43  
>material beads  
>that are about 3/8 inch or so dia. that might be useful.  
>Ill keep your email on file..in case i decide to build a  
>PTO..I was  
>mainly using it for antenna tuning coil..perhaps for a  
>remote tuning  
>of a verical or something..anyways..tnx for sharing the  
>ideas. 73  
>Earl VE3AB

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 16:20:59 -0700  
From: "Bruzenak George" <bruzer1@mindspring.com>  
To: <lmairs@cox.rr.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119785] Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying

Message-ID: <003e01c1b41b\$ef348dc0\$dcac85ce@bruzenak55>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I've got the keyer in my K1 set to 18 wpm speed - anything faster than that outruns my slow fingers. I suspect that many of the 'fast keyers' are using keyboards and it just takes too much effort to slow them suckers down.

George, K0CNT  
----- Original Message -----  
From: "Lee Mairs" <lmairs@cox.rr.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 4:17 PM  
Subject: Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying

> Larry -  
> I think your analysis hits the nail on the head regarding incentives. I  
used  
> to actively contest in the low power division (<100 watts - this was  
before  
> I saw the light) and I'd get very frustrated at some of our Big Guns  
zipping  
> right off and not giving me fills by after getting my call and message  
> correct.  
> 73 de Lee, km4yy  
>  
>  
> The illiterate of the future are not those who can not read or write.  
> They are those who cannot learn, unlearn, and relearn.  
> --Alvin Toffler  
>  
> ----- Original Message -----  
> From: "Larry Cahoon" <lejek@erols.com>  
> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
> Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2002 4:49 PM  
> Subject: Re: Keyer question - Slow Vs Fast Keying  
>  
>  
> >  
> > >  
> > >The primary thing of value in a contest is TIME. Contests run only in a  
> > >limited time period. Worse, in some contests, propagation conditions  
may  
> >  
> > Time is the most important thing and that is why I have a problem with

> some  
> > of the log checking and the way the penalties are computed. They like to  
> > pretend that accuracy is important, but the way things are handled  
doesn't  
> > promote this as well as it could. Sure, if I get the call or the  
exchange  
> > wrong I loose points. But there is no incentive for the other guy to  
make  
> > sure I get it right. In fact because he loses TIME there is every  
reason  
> > not to.  
> >  
> > To make this obvious, my call is WD3P. I get back WB3P, WD2P, WD4P, and  
> > WD3G all the time. Now say the the other fellow is running the  
frequency  
> > and I am playing hunt and pounce. He sends WD3G. I know he got it  
> > wrong. If I take the TIME to correct him it cost me time. I get  
nothing  
> > in return. If we both send in our logs the checkers will recognize that  
> he  
> > got my call wrong. I will get credit for the QSO. After all I got his  
> > information correct. He losses the QSO because he did not get my call  
> > correct. Of course if the error he makes looks too far away from my  
call  
> > then I may lose the QSO as well.  
> >  
> > Now reverse the roles. The big gun comes along while I'm running and he  
> is  
> > playing hunt and pounce. Except I'm running 20 wpm and he comes along  
at  
> > 30 wpm. He knows I copied his call wrong. There is again no incentive  
> for  
> > him to correct the error. There is not an incentive for him to slow  
down  
> > to my speed.  
> >  
> > I would much rather see a rule that said unless both parties correctly  
> copy  
> > the full exchange than neither gets credit for the QSO. That gives both  
> > parties an incentive to get the exchange right and to ensure that the  
> other  
> > fellow gets it right as well. I know guys will say why should I lose  
> > because he cannot copy my call correctly? The answer is simple. The  
goals  
> > of most contests are stated in one way or another "to correctly exchange  
> > the contest information." That goal has not been met if either party  
gets  
> > the information wrong.

> >  
> > 73 de Larry.....WD3P  
> > <http://www.qsl.net/wd3p/>  
> >  
>

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 18:38:56 -0500  
From: Ed Kessler <edkess@pa.net>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [119786] GDO + scQRPion Singer Stinger  
Message-ID: <5.0.2.1.0.20020212183515.009e6780@mail.pa.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

A neat piece of homebrew test gear.

I added my scQRPion singer stinger to my homemade grid (gate) dip oscillator. In one small enclosure I now have . . . .

A GDO with frequency annunciator  
A separate frequency counter if the GDO is turned off  
A wide range signal generator (at this point up to about 60MHz)

Interestingly, when I listen to the oscillator on my rigs and play the annunciator, I also hear the annunciator in the rig!

73  
Ed AA3SJ

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 23:44:17 -0000  
From: "WI8W" <wi8w@arrl.net>  
To: <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [119787] keyers, slow speeds and contesting  
Message-ID: <012701c1b41f\$3004eea0\$6501a8c0@attbi.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Having a Big Gun get my info and then zip off without making sure I have his also makes me a bit frustrated at times. What I do to combat this is

not put  
his info in the log and then when the contest  
organizers run his log through their checking  
software my contest info shows up as bogus on his  
log because they could not find the cross  
reference contact in my log. Maybe if they get  
enough penalties they will think twice about not  
making sure I have their info correct.

For the most part though, I find most Big Guns  
are willing to make sure everything is ok on both  
ends because these stations are in it to win big  
time (like two clubs against one another) and who  
knows 1 contact may be the thing that puts them at  
the top. If they dont get the right info they may  
not win. I have discussed this with members of my  
contesting club and they agree that every contact  
counts at the higher competition levels.

Of course you could practice like mad and get that  
code speed up to where this does not become a  
issue. That is what I did and I very seldom ask  
for a repeat of any information.

73

Thom WI8W

-----  
Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 17:58:00 -0600  
From: Ed Manuel <edmanuel@directvinternet.com>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [119788] Re: GDO + scQRPion Singer Stinger  
Message-ID: <4.3.2.7.2.20020212175532.00c0a650@mail.directvinternet.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

>  
>Interestingly, when I listen to the oscillator on my rigs and play the  
>annunciator, I also hear the annunciator in the rig!  
>



>73  
>Ed AA3SJ  
>

I used to have a tube-type Eico GDO. It was cool. I could tune it in on a receiver across the room (after SUFFICIENT warm up time), get close to it, talk to it and hear the clear modulation on the signal in the receiver.

Guess that's why they call it "microphonic" :-)

Ed, N5EM  
Ed Manuel, N5EM Houston, TX (Harris Co.) EL29  
Houston QRP Club, Houston Amateur Television Society  
<http://www.n5em.com/> n5em@amsat.org

-----  
End of QRP-L Digest 2464

\*\*\*\*\*  
-----